SUPREME COURT, U.S.

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OCTOBER TERM, 1952

No. 512

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, PETITIONER.

VB.

RALSTON PURINA COMPANY

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR CERTIONARI FILED DECEMBER 22, 1952 CERTIONARI GRANTED MARCH 9, 9058

In the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit

No. 14611

Civil

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, PETITIONER appellant

RALSTON PURINA COMPANY, RESPONDENT

___upperce

INDEX

Preliminary injunction	
Answer	
Stipulation and order on pretrial conference of November 27, 1951, as	1.
aniended January 9, 1952	
Exhibit A, number of stares sold in over-the-counter market	
 Exhibit B, employees who purchased stock as of October 1, 1947_ 	
Exhibit C, employees who purchased stock as of October 30, 1948.	
Exhibit D, employees who purchased stock as of October 3, 1949.	
Exhibit E, employees who purchased stock as of September 22,	
1950	
Exhibit F, employees who sought to purchase stock during	
September and October 1951	
Exhibit G, sales of special stock as of October 1, 1947	
Exhibit H, sales of special stock as of September 30, 1948	
Exhibit I, sales of special stock as of October 3, 1949	*
Exhibit J, sales of special stock as of September 22, 1950	
Exhibit K, copy of resolution dated September 11, 1951, author-	
izing defendant's officers to sell stock to employees	
Tabulation of salary range of defendant's employees	
Opinion	
Judgment	
Motion for restocation of preliminary injunction pending appeal.	
Stipulation of varties not to sell securities pending appeal	
Order denying motion for restoration of preliminary injunction pending	
appeal Transcript of testimony	
Transcript of testimony	
Lewis Stnart for defendant	
Defendant's Exhibit L, notice to branch and store managers	
regarding availability of stock Defendant's Exhibit M, representative annual statement of	
Defendant's Exhibit M, representative annual statement of	
Raiston Purina Co	
Plaintiff's Exhibit A through K, correspondence between plaintiff	
and defendant concerning defendant's offering of stock made in	
September 1951	
Plaintiff's Exhibit L, news item from Wall Street Journal of	-
All the transfer of the state o	
Notice of appeal	
Stavement of points to be relied on List of relevant docket entries	
List of relevant docket entries.	

Proceedings in the L. S. C. A. for the Eighth Circuit	87
Appearances	87
Argument, and submission	87
Opinion, Sanborn, J	- 88
Judgment	100
Stipulation in lieu of stay of mandate.	100
Clerk's certificate	103
Order allowing certiorari	103

In the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division

Civil Action File No. 8212

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, PLAINTIFF

v.

RALSTON PURINA COMPANY, A MISSOURI CORPORATION,

Complaint

1. It appears to the plaintiff that the defendant is engaged and is about to engage in acts and practices which constitute and will constitute violations of Section 5 (a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U. S. C. 77e (a)); and the plaintiff, pursuant to Section 20 (b) of said Act (15 U, S. C. 77t (b)), brings this action to enjoin such acts and practices.

2. This action arises under Section 22 (a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S. C. 77v (a)) as hereinafter more fully

appears.

3. Since on or about October 1, 1947, the defendant has been and is now selling securities, namely \$25.00 par value common stock of Ralston Purina Company, and in the sale of such securities has been and is now, directly and indirectly, using the mails and the means and instruments of transportation and communication in interstate commerce, and has been carrying and causing to be carried, and is about to carry and cause to be carried, such securities through the mails and in interstate commerce, by means and instruments of transportation, for the purpose of sale and delivery after sale.

4. No registration statement with respect to such securities

is in effect with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

5. The defendant will, unless enjoined, continue to engage

in the acts and practices set forth in this complaint.

Wherefore, the plaintiff demands a temporary restraining order, a preliminary injunction, and a final judgment enjoining the defendant, its agents, servants, employees, attorneys and assigns, and each of them, from directly or indirectly:

(a) making use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce, or of the mails, to sell \$25.00 par value common stock of Ralston Purina Company, or any other securities, through the use or medium of any

prospectus or otherwise;

(b) carrying such securities or causing them to be carried through the mails or in interstate commerce by any means or instruments of transportation for the purpose of sale or delivery after sale;

unless and until a registration statement is in effect with the Securities and Exchange Commission as to such securities; provided that the foregoing shall not apply to any security or transaction which is exempt from the provisions of Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

THOMAS B. HART, LOUIS LOSS, ALEXANDER J. BROWN, Jr., ROBERT J. SUGRUE.

Attorneys for the Securities and Exchange Commission, 105 West Adams Street, Chicago, Illinois.

GEORGE L. ROBERTSON,

United States Attorney,

402 New Federal Building, St. Louis, Missouri.

Local counsel for the purpose of service of notices in the above entitled cause.

STATE OF ILLINOIS, County of Cook, ss:

Robert J. Sugrue, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is an attorney for the Securities and Exchange Commission, plaintiff in the foregoing action, that he has read the complaint and that to the best of his knowledge, information and belief there is good ground to support the allegations therein.

ROBERT J. SUGRUE.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 23d day of October 1951.

Notary Public.

My commission expires

[CAPTION]

Preliminary injunction

It appearing to the satisfaction of the Court on the verified complaint and supporting affidavit filed herein that the plain-

tiff is entitled to an order restraining the defendant from selling securities in violation of Section 5 (a) of the Securities Act

of 1933, as amended (15 U.S.C. 77e (a)); and

It further appearing that the defendant, in the sale of its. \$25.00 par value common stock to its employees pursuant to a resolution of its board of directors adopted on September 11, 1951, will unless restrained by order of this Court, make use of means or instruments of transportation of communication in interstate commerce, or of the mails, in violation of Section 5 (a) of the Securities Act of 1933; and

It further appearing that the defendant will, unless restrained by order of this Court, carry said securities or cause them to be carried through the mails or in interstate commerce by means or instruments of transportation for the purpose of said sale, or for delivery after said sale, in violation of Section

5 (a) of said Act; and

It further appearing that the defendant has waived notice of hearing and service of summons and has consented to the entry of a preliminary injunction herein; saving to defendant all rights to contest the issue on final hearing and waiving no rights in that respect;

It is hereby ordered that, pending hearing and a determination of plaintiff's demand for final judgment, the defendant, its agents, servants, employees, attorneys and assigns, and each of them, be and they are hereby enjoined from, directly or

indirectly:

(1) Making use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce, or of the mails, to sell \$25.00 par value common stock of Ralston Purina Company, or any other securities, to its employees, through the use or medium of any prospectus, or otherwise;

(2) Carrying such securities or causing them to be carried through the mails or in interstate commerce by any means or instruments of transportation for the purpose of sale or de-

livery after sale to its employees;

unless and until a registration statement is in effect with the Securities and Exchange Commission as to such securities.

(S) RUBEY M. HULEN,

District Judge.

Entered October 24, 1951.

· [CAPTION]

Answer

Defendant answering the Complaint herein states:

1. Denies that defendant is engaged or is about to engage in acts and practices which constituted or will constitute violations of Section 5 (a) of the Securities Act of 1933 as alleged in paragraph 1-of the Complaint.

2. Admits that this action arises under Section 22 (a) of the Securities Act of 1933 as alleged in paragraph 2 of the Com-

plaint:

3. Answering paragraph 3 of the Complaint, defendant denies that since on or about October 1, 1947, defendant has been or is now offering for sale or selling securities, namely \$25 par value common stock of Ralston Purina Company, except this defendant states that it has been offering for sale and selling. such stock but only to the extent, under the circumstances and in the manner hereinafter set forth. Further answering paragraph 3, defendant states that in September or October of the calendar years 1947, 1948, 1949, and 1950 defendant offered for sale and sold to a limited number of selected key employees. who had been advised that Ralston Purina Company authorized but unissued common stock would be made available by the Company for purchase by them, and who requested the sale of such stock to them by defendant, and that pursuant to such request said common stock was sold to such key employees, and that certain of such offers for sale or sales to such employees were made by use of the mails and otherwise in interstate

4. Admits the allegations of paragraph 4 of the Complaint.

5. Further answering, defendant states that it has a nation-wide distribution of its products and that to facilitate such distribution operates a large number of mills, cereal plants, soybean processing plants, sanitation and farm supply distribution centers, warehouses, sales offices and stores all over the United States; that it has a large number of various departments and that the operation of its business is, so far as possible, decentralized so that the various operating units throughout the country have the responsibility for the conduct of their individual operation and that defendant has in the past five years very substantially increased the number and size of its plants of various types and the dollar volume of its business.

6. That for almost fifty years past, defendant has pursued the policy of selecting its employees for promotion from within its own organization and to that end has made every effort to

develop the capacities and potentialities of such employees and to hold out incentives to such employees for the purpose of stimulating and maintaining their interest and effectiveness in the successful operation of the company's various plants and facilities.

7. That for at least a quarter of a century in pursuance of the policies just hereinabove mentioned, defendant has from time to time made stock available for purchase by its key employees in order to effectively carry out its program as above outlined; that in selecting such employees to whom such stock would be made available, various executive officers of the combany have from time to time conferred with the top men in the various branches of all kinds mentioned above for the purpose of carefully selecting persons in order to make such stock available in comparatively limited quantities to employees who had special capacities and special responsibilities to the end that such employees would become stockholders of the company or might purchase additional stock therein and that it has never at any time either made any general offering or made stock available to all employees, but limited it strictly in the manner hereinabove set forth; that the company was at all said times unwilling to sell or offer to sell the company's stock at the prices fixed from time to time to any person, firm or corporation other than such carefully selected employees, and was not willing and has not sold or offered to sell said stock to any persons other than such selected employees.

8. That defendant believes that it is for the best interests of the company that its key employees be owners of common stock of the company; that such ownership stimulates and rewards their efforts to increase the prosperity of the company, makes them more valuable employees, creates increased efficiency, develops potential executives and makes it less likely that they will leave the employ of the company to accept positions with

its competitors.

9. That defendant in the years 1947, 1948, 1949, 1950, and 1951 paid substantial cash bonuses to its employees at the end of its fiscal year in September of each year and that such bonuses were paid principally to those certain key employees to whom such stock was offered and that many of such employees were, in each of such years when they received such bonuses, anxious to acquire additional common stock of the company; that the company's common stock was and is not listed on any stock exchange, but on the contrary, is dealt in only in the overthe-counter market and in limited quantities. That the supply of the company's common stock available for purchase in said market in September and October of each of the years

1947 to 1951 inclusive was entirely insufficient to satisfy the reasonably anticipated demands of the company's employees to purchase such common stock of the company without thereby causing, by the competitive bidding of such employees, an artificial and unjustified increase in the market price of such stock unless stock in the company was made available for purchase by them without competitive bidding and therefore thereby artificially running up the price of such stock.

10. That in September and October of 1951 the company had 6,850 employees and that less than 500 of its employees were advised that such stock might be made available for purchase by them. That at said time, a notice which was the same or substantially the same as that just hereinafter set out, was given to every branch manager, every sales manager and every store manager where any key employees had evidenced any interest in purchasing stock of defendant company and that, through such managers and orally and in other ways, substantially all employees who had indicated any interest in purchasing such stock were advised of said notice. Said notice read as follows:

"The Company is unwilling to take the responsibility, in essence, of guaranteeing or forecasting that the price of Purina stock is going up or will remain at its present price for the next twelve months or so. Consequently the Company is making no recommendation that employees purchase at current prices.

"The Company, however, is willing to try to protect employees against a market rise in the price of the stock resulting from temporary competitive bidding by employees. To do this the Company will make available for a limited time some authorized but an issued stock at \$80 a share. The only employees to whan this stock will be available will be those who take the initiative and are interested in buying stock at present market prices.

"If stock can be purchased on the open market at a price lower than \$80 a share, the employee will of course buy at the lower price."

(Discussed at 9/14/51 Executive Committee meeting at which time of officers present were given a copy of this memo. Copy sent to other officers.)

That 165 of such key employees did request an opportunity to purchase stock by them in varying amounts ranging from a minimum of one share to a maximum of two hundred shares, the total amount of such shares so being requested being about 3,500.

11. That defendant company since 1945 has printed and published an annual statement fully reflecting the company's

financial position and earnings; that copies of such financial statement were furnished to many banks, brokerage and investment houses, and were filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the New York Stock Exchange, where the preferred stock is listed, and was in general easily available. by reason of stock having been made available to its key employees in 1947 and for more than twenty years prior thereto a great majority of its key employees are stockholders of the company; and that as regards the year 1951, approximately 75% of the persons to whom stock was made available and approximately 75% of those who applied to be allowed to purchase stock were stockholders of the company and had duly received the company's annual statements; that during the long period of years during which such stock has been offered to such key employees and purchased by them there has never been any complaint from any such purchaser in connection with his purchase of said stock.

12. That at or about the time that the selected key employees were advised of the availability of such stock in September or October 1951, the plaintiff objected to the defendant's proceeding with the sale of such stock to its employees on the alleged ground that such offer would constitute a public offering of the stock and therefore would require registration under Section 5 (a) of the Securities Act of 1933; and that defendant immediately advised plaintiff that it was and is now of the opinion that such offer for sale to such key employees in the manner and under the circumstances and conditions hereinabove set forth would not constitute a public offering within the meaning of Section 5 (a) of the Securities Act, but no sales were or have been consummated pending a determination of the right of this defendant to sell such stock to such key employees under the circumstances and in the manner hereinabove set forth.

13. And this defendant denies that the sale of said approximately 3,500 shares to said 165 key employees does or will constitute any public offering within the meaning and intent of Section 5 (a) of the Securities Act and therefore asks to be discharged with its costs.

1630 Boatmen's Bank Building, St. Louis 2, Missouri, Attorneys for Defendant.

[CAPTION]

Pretrial conference order

Date: November 27, 1951.

Before: Honorable Rubey M. Hulen, Judge.

At: St. Louis, Missouri (in chambers).

Appearances: For Plainter, Robert J. Sugrue, 105 West Adams Street, Chicago, Illinois. For Defendant, Thomas M. McPheeters, of Bryan, Cave, McPheeters & McRoberts, Boatmen's Bank Bldg., St. Louis, Missouri.

Stipulation

It is hereby stipulated and agreed:

1) That defendant will furnish to the reporter immediately a copy of the resolution of September 11, 1951, authorizing the sale of 10,000 shares of stock in the defendant company, as per its terms; that said resolution may be treated by either of the parties to this case as an original and used in the trial of the case, subject to objections as to materiality, without further identification.

2) Defendant admits use of the mails in offering 10,000

shares of stock above referred to (1), for the year 1951.

3) Defendant admits as to the 10,000 chares of stock referred to in paragraph (1), that same, in parts thereof, were offered to between 400 and 500 employees of the defendant company.

4) Defendant will prepare and supply to the reporter, on or before November 30, 1951, a typewritten tabulation showing the salary range of the employees purchasing stock of defendant for the years 1948, 1949, and 1950, and the salary range of those employees who have offered to purchase stock during the year 1951, and said tabulation will be subject to use by either party to this cause, to show the facts set forth, in place of original records; subject to correction, should any error be found, and subject only to objection as to materiality if offered at the trial, or in any other proceeding in this case.

5) In connection with the offering of stock by the defendant to employees, as to employees other than those whose names appear in the list of 165 names which has heretofore been given to the plaintiff by the defendant, the defendant represents and admits that it is unable to give a further complete list, for the reason that offering of stock to other employees was made orally

and no record of the offering was kept.

6) Defendant represents and admits that it made offerings of stock to employees who did not purchase during the years

1948, 1949, and 1950, but defendant is unable to give the names of the employees to whom such stock offering was made and who did not make purchases, because no record of the offering as

to such was kept by the defendant

7) Attached to this pretrial memorandum is Exhibit A, as indicative and showing the number of shares of stock that were sold in the over-the-counter market during the years 1946 to 1951, inclusive, as evidenced by the transfer books which are kept by the defendant company; in connection with which it is stipulated. that the table shown in said exhibit is a fair illustration of the number of such sales, based on the assumption resulting from the revenue stamps attached to the certificates when offered for transfer; which defendant represents were in the required amount as in the case of a sale, with the note appearing on the exhibit included in such explanation; the month of September for the years shown being illustrative of the number of transfers representing ultimate sales, to wit:

		Shares	
	For the month of September 1947, sold to ultimate purchasers	. 737	7
•	For the month of September 1948, sold to ultimate purchasets	109	
	For the month of September 1949, sold to ultimate purchasers.	267	2
	For the month of September 1950, sold to ultimate purchasers	712	
	For the month of September 1951, sold to ultimate purchasers	939	,

that the figures for the month of September, for the years 1947 to 1951, inclusive, as above set forth, are fairly representative of percentage of ultimate sales compared to transfers for the remainder of the months, for the years shown on said exhibit; all as evidenced by the transfer records of the defendant; that said Exhibit A may be used for the purpose of evidencing the facts above set forth by either of the parties hereto, without the production of further books and records of the company,

subject to objection as to relevancy only.

8) There are attached hereto Exhibits B. C. D. E. and F; Exhibit B is a list of employees of the defendant who purchased stock of the company as of October 1, 1947, in the amounts shown on said list; Exhibit C being a similar list for the year 1948; Fyhibit D being a similar list for the year 1949; Exhibit. E being a similar list for the year 1950; and Exhibit F being a list of employees of the defendant company who have requested an opportunity to purchase stock in defendant company in September or October 1951; it is stipulated by the parties that said list and the information shown thereon may be used by either of the parties hereto, without further production of original records of identification, subjects only to objections as to relevancy.

9) There are attached hereto Exhibits G, H, I, and J, showing sales and the reasons for sales of stock by employees of the defendant, to wit:

Exhibit G shows sales of stock purchased by employees in the year 1947 and sold by such purchasers in the year 1947 or subsequent thereto:

Exhibit H shows no sales of stock in the year 1948 or thereafter by employees who purchased stock in 1948;

Exhibit I shows sales of stock purchased by employees during

1949 and sold by such purchasers in the year 1949 or thereafter; Exhibit J shows purchases of stock during the year 1950 and

sales to date of such stock by such purchasers; that said Exhibits G to J, inclusive, may be used by either of the parties hereto, without the production of further records of

identification, subject to objection as to materiality.

10) That wherever the term "employee" or "employees" is used in this stipulation, defendant uses the term as referring to a character of employee of defendant that defendant designates as a key employee, and defendant may, at the trial of this case, offer testimony as to the meaning of "key employee".

and subject to objection as to relevancy.

11) By agreement of counsel, this cause is reset for ter

o'clock, Friday, December 28, 1951.

12) Copy of resolution referred to in paragraph 1) is hereto attached, as exhibit K, which resolution defendant admits was adopted on September 11, 1951, by its Board of Directors.

Certificate

I, Floyd A. Buchanan, do hereby certify that the preceding pages 1 through 6 are the pretrial order under Rule 16, F. R. C. P., made on November 27, 1951, in the cause as entitled and numbered on page 1 hereof.

Copies supplied to counsel for both parties.

Approved:

FLOYD A. BUCHANAN, Official Court Reporter.

PRETRIAL CONFERENCE EXHIBIT. A

Number of shares sold in over-the-counter market

	1947	1948	1919	1950	5 1951 . ·
January February March April May June July August September October November December	1 41	2, 165 1, 444 753 771 770 1, 926 294 277 819 2, 385 1, 648	2, 165 1, 279 1, 588 1, 256 2, 425 1, 566 676 1, 438 508 £, 253 -575 4, 627	5, 104 2, 948 C 1883 1, 152 1, 040 1, 388 1, 163 709 2, 162 1, 923 1, 523 4, 314	3, 012 1, 007 46, 367 24, 843 5, 477 2, 812 3, 629 2, 162 6, 664
Total	18,348	15, 124	19, 341	24, 110	

Note.—In March 1951, the Woods Family sold 24,000 shares to a syndicate headed by Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner & Bean, and the figures for March, Apial and May, show the effect of this purchase and the resale thereof by the syndicate members to their customers.

PRETRIAL CONFERENCE EXHIBIT B

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the Company at \$47.50 per share as of Oct. 1, 1947.

Number of names.

Number of shares	A.			6, 984
Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of employee (by plant, savistant, or sales office)	Number of shares purchased	Stock-holders (self ar immediate family) at time of, purchase (x)
ADVERTISING	10 , 1			1º4.
W. D. Bowte	Copywriter Asst. Mgr., Feeder Finance	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	9 - 30	X
C. C. Fawcett. W. P. Hays E. W. Kosfeld John McGinty G. M. Philpou	Div. Mgr., Art Dept Asst. Director of Advertising Mgr., Dealer Advertising Mgr., Publications Vice Pres. (Promotion)	St. Louis, Mo O . St. Louis, Mo. St. Louis, Mo. St. Louis, Mo.	10 100 12 25	X
W.J. Riley	Supervising Copywriter	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	30	. X
BUYING		• •		- m -
V. P. Branom. John P. Brown. E. A. Cayce 2 R. Ib Dean 5 W. F. DeKsy L. M. Kishlar P. C. Knowlton.	Asst. Mer., Mill Supply Asst. Mer., Soybean Div. Vice-Pres. (Bulvings) Mgr., Grain Buylug Div. Mgr., Will Supply Mgr., Special Products Div. Gen. Mgr., Checkerboard, Elev. Co.	St. Louis, Mo.	2.5	X X X X X
ames W. Mathers	Mgr., Pricing Div Purchasing Agent Gen. Purchasing Agent	St. Louis, Ma	25 21, 35 100 7.1	47

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the Company at \$47.50 per share as of Oct. 1, 1947—Continued

	•			. 0
1			0	Stock-
0			A.T.	holders
		T	Num-	(self or
	m., 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Location of employee	her of	immediate
Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	(by plant, division,	shares	family) at
		or sales office)	pur-	
			chased	time of
				purchase
				(x)
4.				
CEREAL			,	
	Or .			
· Elspeth Bennett	Mgr., Nutrition Service	St. Louis, Mo	5	4
R. Z. Chew	Mgr., Private Label Div	Chicago, Ill	25	
M. G. Crider	Business Mgr	St. Louis; Mo	25	
J. B. Dallas	District Sales Mgr	San Francisco, Calif.		X.
Herbert DeBoer	Cereal Sales Mgrs.	Seattle, Wash		X-
C. G. R. Dougherty	Cereal Safes Mgr	Atlanta, Ga	25.	
	Mgr., Cereal Promotion	St Louis Mo	30	
J. V. Getlin	District Mgr. Sales	St. Louis, Mo Syracuse, N. Y	40	X
The state of the s	District Mgr. Sales	Memphis, Tenn		Х.
R. L. Henry		Poster Mass	0 95	*********
J. B. Jeakle	District Mgr. Sales	Boston, Mass	201	~ X ·
W. W. Knorr W. E. Konrad	· Special Institutional Salesman.	Philadelphia, Pa	10	
W. E. Konrad	Retail Salesman	San Francisco, Calif.		X
A. J. Martin	District Sales Mgr	New York, N. Y	25	Х .
L. G. McCray	District Sales Mgr:	Philadelphia, Pa	25	x
H. J. Widdleton	Asst. to Business Mgr.	St. Louis, Mo	10	
R. C. Mergan	District Sales Mgr	Cleveland, Ohio	- 40	x .
A. O. Robertson.	District Sales Mgr.	Fort Worth, Tex	30	X
R. E. Smith	Vice Pres. (Cereals)	St. Louis, Mo		x
	Mgr., Institutional Div	St. Louis, Mo	50	
F. L. Weber	argi., mstreattonar Dig	. oc. Louis, Mo.	30	X
4	. 4		• , .	
EXECUTIVE SPECIAL		0	6	
. 0				
T. R. Rop	Asst. to Chairman of Board	St. Louis, Mo	. 10	I: .
			0.	
FINANCIAL				
. 9 .		4 4		-
Earl Alexander	Asst. Mgr., Acetg. Div	St. Louis, Mo	10	- X -
C. M. Bacon	Mgr., Budget Div	St. Louis, Mo	20	*
P. C. Baichly	Asst. Gen Credit Mgr	St. Louis, Mo	-10	×
Alma Campbell	Mgr., Gen Order Div	St. Louis Mo	\$10	
S.M. Cole	Mgr., Cereal Order Credit	St. Louis, Mo	20	
-Mildred Deppe	Score to Controller	Sy Louis, Mo	10	
- Waldled Deble	Secy. to Controller Credit Manager	M. Louis, Mo	100	X
G. L. Doerbaum		St. Louis, Mo		
. Coorell Fox.	Mgr., Contract Div., Legal	St. Louis, Mg	13	
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Dept.		11/11/20	0,00
· V. W. Gieseiman	Mgr., Insurance Dept	St. Louis, Mo	. 16	X
E. D. Godfrey	Mgr., Accounting Div	St. Louis, Mo	- :50	X-
P. L. Jacoby	Controller	St. Louis, Mo	36	X
R. A. Jelkyl	Mgr., Gen. Order-Credit Dept	St. Louis, Mo	20	'X
F. A.Judell	Counsel	St. Liouis, Mo	. 10	X
L.B. Murdock	Mgr., Taxand Corporate Dept:	St. Louis, Mo	38	. c. X -
E.B. Jefkins	Cashier	St. Louis, Mo	0 210	1×
Harry Picker	Mgr., Sales Payroll Div	St. Louis, Mo.	- 5	· Kan
E. R. Siler	Trobentor	est Lonia Vo	100	Y A
A. M. Stevens	Mgr. Feeder Finance	St. Louis Mo'	- 5 10	X e
Lewis B. Stuart	Vice Pres. (Finance)	St Lauffe Ma	50	- 1
Lews, D. Stunt	· the rieself menter)	THE RESIDENCE AND THE PARTY	1 1 000	
Denography	2 200		. p	
PERSONNEL				. /
as the many is a	Man Clark 1 Courts	Se Travella Man	10	
· Naomi D. Burton	Mgr., Clerical Service	St. Louis, Mo	.10.	X
E. M. De Veaux	Asst. General Office Mgr	St. Louis, Mo	- 10	
A.S. Hemphill	Asst. to Personnel Director	St. Louis, Mo	10	X Ash
F. W. Hunthegton	Vice Pres. (Personnel and	St. Louis, Mo	100	
	Office.)			
A. W. Moise 2	Personnel Director	St. Louis, Mo	10	O T.
I. O. Royse	General Office Mgr	St. Louis, Mo.	22	*
J. E. Schopflin	Mgr., Ad-Service Div	Stationis, Ma	372	-1
		1000	83	7. 1
PUBLIC RELATIONS		6	1/2	
T Child In LATIONS		60 .	123	
10. O. Melatosh	Director, Public Relations	St. Louis, Mo	20	
	Director, Public Relations	St. Louis, Mo	30	X
E. A. Sindecuse	Vice Deer (Dath Palette	St. Louis, MO.		
J. P. Sykes	Vice Pres. (Public Relations)	St. Louis, Mo	100	, X
	1 4 4 4	- 1		

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the Company at \$47.50 per share as of Oct. 1, 1947—Continued

				Stock-
Name of employee	Title or payroll classification .	Location of employee (by plant, division, or sales office)	Num- ber of shares pur-	(self or immediate family) at
ę. ·	• • •		chased.	purchase (x)
Production		- 0	-	
St. Louis Office, Lab-				. * *
oratories, and Re- search Farms			1 - 1	
C. R. Atchison	Gen. Traffic Mgr. Utilities Div. Supervisor	St. Louis, Mo	- 80 10	1
A. Bergmann	Mgr., Sanitation and Mer- ehandising.	St. Louis, Mo	25	. 1.
V. B. Brew . W. Brooks	Mgr. Organic Laboratory: Asst., in Animal Pathological Dept.	St. Louis, Mo	10 2	. I
I. H. wower	Asst. Mgr., Sanitation Prod-	St. Louis, Mo	10	
K. Brown	Asst, to Vice President	St. Louis, Mo	. 8	5 T.
C. E. Gray.	Mgr., Egg Marketing Div Asst. in Animal Pathological	St. Lguis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	0 15	********
K. English	Dept. S Mgr., Engineering Dept			
. D. Fales	Sales Asst. in Eastern Region	St. Louis, Mo	10	x
E. Garrison	Mgr., Certal and Sanitation Plants.	St. Louis, Mo	65	x
A. Gettler	Staff Engineer Division Production Mgr.	St Louis Mo	100	X .
lorence Grasel	Secy. to Production Mgr	St. Louis, Mo	10	X
. T. James	Secy. to Production Mgr Staff Engineer	St. Louis, Mo	20	
S. Johnson	Vice President (Research and Products).	St. Louis, Mo	100	X
B. Johnson	Asst. Mgr., Product Control. Div. Production Mgr.	St. Louis, Mo.	25	.0 1
. C. Joy	Asst. Mgr., Checkerboard	St. Louis, Mg	20	. O X
H. Kronig E. Lubbehusen	Mgr., Checkerboard Stores Mgr., Animal Pathological Div.	St. Louis, Mo	- 1	x
. J. Martin	Staff Engineer Staff Engineer	St. Louis, Mo	.16	ż.
O. Maurer	Staff Engineer'. Secy. to Sales Manager	St. Louis, Mo	- 21	x
. A. Noxon	Mgr., Production Dept	St Lawis Ma	- K()	. X
J. O'Brien	Personnel Mgr., Production Dept	St. Louis, Mo	. 5	
. L. Olyneic	Mgr., Farm Supply Ware-	St. Louis, Mo		x
arm D. Pefers	Mill Feed Div. Mgr., Cheeker-	St. Louis, Mo	10	
ary Jane Pickel	Secy. to Vice President. Asst. Mgr., Farm Supplies	St. Louis, Mo	10	· I
J. Potts .	Liebt		TU.	
E. Rowland	Mgr., Analytical Laboratory Vice Pres. (Production)	St. Louis, Mo	100	X.
. C. Shaefer	Mgr., Nutrition Research	St. Louis, Mo	€ 16	. PO.
. C. Schofield	Sssistant in Animal Patholog- ical Dept.	St. Louis, Mo	9.8	
A. Schaub	Western Traffic Mgr.	St. Louis, Mo	10	x
	Mgr., Livestock Sales, East- ern Region.	St. Louis, Mo	30	. 1
H. Steel	Mgr., Dairy Div. of Chow	St. Louis, Mo	15	. X
ildred Umrath	Secy. to Vice President,	St. Louis, Mo	10	
D. Varble	Office Mgr., St. Louis Plant Mgr., Sanitation Dept	St. Louis, Mo	18	I
E. Ward hn M. Wear, Jr aud L. Welch, Mgr	Mgr., Experimental Farm.	St. Louis, Mo. S. Gray Summit, Mo. S.	39	, x
audkL. Welch, Mgr 1 arold L. Wilcke, Mgr	Freduct Control Gen. Poultry and Hatchery	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	40- 10	х.
3 3 .	Dept.		0	**********
rgil O. Wodieka, Mgr hn R. Wright	Staff Engineer	St. Louis, Mo	10	X

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the Company at \$47.50 per share as of Oct. 1, 1947—Continued

	0 0			
	1		Num-	Stock- holders
Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	(by plant, division, or sales office)	ber of shares pur- chased	(self or immediate family) at time of
•				purchase (x)
PRODUCTION-Con.				
CENTRAL PLANTS				
Fort Worth, Tex.			9	
E. E. Braznell R. E. Cowan L. A. Pharr		Ft. Worth, Tex. Ft. Worth, Tex. Ft. Worth, Tex.	100 100 40	X X
lowa Falls, lou a			*	
H. N. Johnson	Manager Asst. Manager	Iowa Falls, Iowa Iowa Falls, Iowa	20 4	*
Kansas City, Mo.				
I. C. Skaggs		Kansas City, Mo	60	. х
Lubbock, Tex.			. 9	
John Joseph Moore	.Superintendent	Lubbock Tex	17	********
Minneapolis, Minn				, .
Oscar F. Clayton R. L. Ranney L. C. Weberg	Cheek-R-Board Elev. Mgr. Superintendent Manager	Minneapolis, Minn Minneapolis, Minn Minneapolis, Minn	40 20 20 20	X X
Omaha, Nebr.				
Dewey Agnew	Superintendent Manager	Omaha, Nebrdo	8 21	x x
St. Louis, Mo.		. 1		
harles E. Beyer	Traffic manager, Chacker-	St. Louis, Mo	10	i ·
I. L. Colwell Raleigh B. Wilson	Manager, St. Louis Plant Intermediate Grain Merchan- diser, Checkerboard Elev.	do	75 -3	1
EASTERN PLANTS	Co.			
Buffalo, N. Y.	68			
ames Hedrick oseph F. Leping	Superintendent	do	20	X X
Charlotte, N. C.	Asst. Manager	dodo	50	х .
filart Brauch	Acting Manager	Charlotte, N. C.	20	*
Dliver W. Klein	Superintendent	do	20	1
Circlerille, Ohio				
ay L. Clark.	Superintendent Manager	Circleville, Ohio	20 30	x .
Nashville, Tenn.				
oda Austin	Superintendent	Nashville, Team , 1	50 160 247	X X X
Richmond, Ind.				
H. Frisch	Manager	, Richmond, Ind	10	4

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the Company at \$47.50 per share as of Oct. 1, 1947—Continued

		The second secon	The same and the same and	
·			Num-	Stock- holders
Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of employee (by plant, division, or sales office)	ber of shares pur-	(self or immediate family) at
			chased	time of purchase
1.				(x)
PRODUCTION-Con.				
		•		
RASTERN PLANTS—Con.				
Wilmington, Del.			E	
A. L. Fager Dewey Reeder	Asst. Manager Manager	Wilmington, Del Wilmington, Del	21 20	X 4.
WESTERN PLANTS	•	, 1		
Denver, Colo.			-/	12
W. T. Chafee	Manager Asst. MgrBuyer	Denver, Colo	5 20	*********
Los Angeles, Calif.				-
I. P. Andrews	Manager	Los Angeles, Calif	20	
F. E. Boss	Buyer	Los Angeles, Calif	10	X .
Oakland, Calif.				
W. R. Arends Lyle Herlitz Edwin Murray	Manager Elevator Foreman Superintendent	Oakland, Calif Oakland, Calif Oakland, Calif	21	x
Pocatello, Idaho				
H. F. Ory	Manager	Pocatello, Idaho	12	
Visalia, Calif			-	
M. E. Staed	Superintendent	Visalia, Calif	10	
CEREAL AND SANITA-		6		4.
Battle Creek, Mich.		100		
Joseph Berger	Power Engineer	Battle Creek, Mich		
Davenport, Iowa				
ohn M. Burrows	Manager	Davenport, Iowa	40	
Minneapolis, Minn.				
Henry Radde	Office Manager	Minneapolis, Minn.	4	*
SANITATION PLANT				•
Gay Nelson	Manager	St. Louis, Mo.	7	
SALES		03, 20043) ************************************		
ST. LOUIS GENERAL				4
Roy Brandenburger	Mgr., Farm Supply (Stores)	St. Louis, Mo	50	
Beryl Canepbell E. Hinshaw	Asst. to Gen. Sales Mgr Mgr Poultry Sales, Southern	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	- 14 20	ž.
ohn E. Hoff	Region. Mgr., Poultry Sales, Grain Belt Div.	St. Louis, Mo	30	* x
uanita A. Hohnstrater.	Steno. to Staff Mgr	St. Louis, Mo	7	
R. V. Kirk Carl A. Leupold	Sales Mgr., Central States Mdse. Mgr., Southern Region.	St. Louis, Mo	25	X
Mack O, North	Mgr., Broiler, Turkey, and	St. Louis, Mo	20	X
E. B. Fratt	Dairy Chow Mgr.—Grain	St. Louis, Mo	20	
t.	. Helt			

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased commo stock of the Company at \$47.50 per share as of Oct. 1, 1947—Continued

				•
		Location of employee	Num- ber of	Stock- holders (self or
Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	(by plant, division, or sales office)	shares pur- chased	family)ea time of
and a financial segment operands of the contract of the contra			Cina	purchase (x)
. SALES-Con.		•		4
ST. LOUIS GENERAL STAFF-Con.	b			+
J. Roe Pree	Asst. in St. Louis Farm	St. Louis, Mo	10	x
E. M. Putney	Supply. Vice Pres. (Gen. Chow Sales	St. Louis, Mo	100.	x
Meade Summers	Mgr.). Mgr. Poultry Sales—Eastern	St. Louis, Mo	50	. x
E. M. Williams	Mgr. Cattle, Hog, and Sheep Sales, Grain Belt Region.	St. Louis, Mo	42	x
GRAIN BELT-WESTERN REGION		5 0	V	
Central States Division			-	
R. D. McGranahan	District Salesman	St. Louis, Mo	20	
Fred W. Schuler	District Salesman District Salesman	St. Louis, Mo	25 20	X X
Missouri-Iowa Division				1
L, W. Karr	Salesmanager	Des Moines, Iowu	70	. 1
North Central Division	The state of the s			
Fred B. Merrill	- Salesmanager	Minneapolis, Minn.	25	. х
Western States Division.				
Gil L. James	Salesmanager	Kansas City, Mo	94	
SOUTHERN REGION	* **			
Nashville Division	. 6	•		
G. S. Crowe. Ira L. Fears J. W. Freeman Ralph H. Jarrett J. E. Streetman J. Howard Watson	District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman Salesmanager	Nashville, Tenn Nashville, Tenn Nashville, Tenn Nashville, Tenn Nashville, Tenn Nashville, Tenn	10	X X X X
South Atlantic Division				
Horace G. Bolton Hollis B. Franks Bernard A. Mangum J. V. McAllister R: P. Oliver	District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman Salesmanager	Charlotte, N. C Charlotte, N. C Charlotte, N. C Charlotte, N. C Charlotte, N. C	10 50 20 1 100	x
South Central Division	Salesmanager	Memphis, Tenn	20	*
J. H. Branch C. M. Close	District Salesman District Salesman	Memphis, Tenn	75 25	x
R. J. Mtddleton W. J. Newsom	District Salesman	Memphis, Tenn Memphis, Tenn	40	3
Ruford H. Roberts, T. E. Veitch	District Salesman District Salesman	Memphis, Tenn	40	x
Southeastern Division		•		
C. F. Holle	District Salesman	Jacksonville, Fla	100	x
Tom B. Presion	District Salesman	Jacksonville, Fla Jacksonville, Fla	25	- X
Fred H. Pruitt. Werdell E. Sherrel	District Salesman	Jacksonville, Fla	25	

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the Company at \$47.50 per share as of Oct. 1, 1947—Continued

Committee the state of the committee of				
-		4		
				Stock-
			Num-	holders
		Location of employee	ber of	(self or
· Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	(by plant, division,	shares	immediat
Traine or congress,	The state of the s	or sales office)	pur-	family) a
		or sairs daile	chased	time of
		45.	chaseo	purchase
*	4		1	(x)
•		1		
SALES-Con.	*			0
EASTERN REGION	4		1	
Central Atlantic			1	
Division				
Lee B. Agee	District Salesman	Baltimore Md	20	
Clyde M. Apgar	Territory Salesman	Reltimore Ma	5	
Olin M. Avis	Territory Salesman	Raltimore Md	. 15	
Charles I Bryan	District Salesman	Baltimore Md	120	. X
Charles J. Bryan Louis A. Clements	District Salesman Territory Salesman Territory Salesman District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman Territory Salesman Territory Salesman	Paltimore, Md	100	, X
Jacob A. Correll	District Calconial	Daltimore, Md	10	, X
	District Salesman Territory Salesman Territory Salesman	Baltimore, Md	- 40	X
. D. Davis III	Territory Salesman	Baltimore, Md	. 15	. X
Mario DeAntonellis				- X
Clifford M. Dryden	Perritory catesman	Danimore, Md	30	. x .
Harry H. Dukes	Territory Salesman	Baltimore, Md	60	×
Charles E. Ficke	Territory Salesman District Salesman	Baltimore, Md	15	. X
Joseph D. Fowler				. X
Gibson Graham	Territory Salesman Territory Salesman Territory Salesman	Baltimore Md	20	X
Jesse J. Gwaltney	Territory Salesman	Baltimore Md	. 15	
Calvin A Hauck	District Salesman		25.	X
James W Honoventt	District Salesman	Baltimore, Md.	20.	X
Pohort & Koith	District Salesman.	Baltimore, Ma	20	- X :
James W. Honeysett Robert S. Keith Philip H. Sprenkle	District Coleman	Daltimore, Md	20	X
rump H. Sprenkie	District Salesman District Salesman	Baltimore, Md	, 25	X -
W. Franklin Sumner	District Salesman	Baltimore, Md	. 10	A .
Wade P. Thomas	District Salesman	Baltimore, Md	15	. X
Wade P. Thomas H. Clay Weaver				X
Sterling White	Saleşmanager	Baltimore, Md	80	X
Fitzhugh L. Wickham	District Salesman	Rollimore Md	; 20	X
Arthur E. Williams	Territory Salesman D.	Baltimore, Md	10	Y
A. Shober Zerbe	District Salesman	Baltimore, Md	30	· v
. , .				4.
Lake States Division				* .
Cary T. Hartman	District Salesman	Columbus, Ohio	20	x
Leon M. McCorkle	District Saiesman	Colambus, Ohio	. 20	
V. W. Peterson	District Salesman	Columbus, Ohio	20	
V. W. Peterson	Salesmanager	Columbus, Ohio	50	×
J. T. Weater	District Salesman	Columbus, Ohio	10	
		commonly only	. 10	
North Atlantic Division			-	
A COLON A STATE OF THE STATE OF			0.0	
L. L. Braybrook	Cal smannage	Cominge N V C		
Harold I. Frederick	Salesmanager Strict Salesman	Syracuse, N. Y.	100	*
narom i. Frederick	. Strict Salesman	Syracuse, N. Y	15	, X
Duncan R. Hodgins	District Salesman:	Syracuse, N. Y	15	
ohn B. Johnson	District Salesman	Syracuse, N. Y	. 5	X
Warren H. Searfoss	District Salesman District Salesman	Syracuse, N. Y Syracuse, N. Y Syracuse, N. Y	10	х .
STORES.	3			
		*		
Beorge A. Allen	Supervisor of Florida Stores	St. Louis, Mo	25	*
ohn R. Crosby	Checkerboard Store Salesman	St. Louis, Mo	25	
Keith-Lungren	Storemanager and District	St. Louis, Mo	35	
	Salesman.		90	. х
Louis L. Tourgee	Store Marager, Harrisonburg.	Qt Louis Ma	0.0	1
bodis D. Pourgee	Va.	St. Louis, Mo	25	X
Robert W. Waite		Co I mais Mr.		
model w. waite	Store Manager, Winchester,	St. Louis, Mo	15	
	Va.			

PRETRIAL CONFERENCE EXHIBIT C.

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the company at \$50 per share as of Sept. 30, 1948

Total number of names	20
Number who were previously stockholders (90%)	18
Total number of shares	1, 120

Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of employee (by plant, division, or slaes office;	Num- ber of shares pur- chased	Stock- holders (self or immediate family) at time of purchase (x)
ADVERTISING	•			43
W. P. Hays	Asst. Dir. Advertising	St. Louis, Mo	51	
BUYING			-	. ^
E. A. Cayce R. H. Dean Paul C. Knowlton D. B. Walker	Vice Pres. (Purchasing)	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	120 50 20 50	I I I
FINANCIAL				
Cottrell Fox L. B. Murdock	Mgr., Contract Div. Mgr., Tax and Corporate Dept.	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	7.	X X
E. R. Siler	Treasurer	St. Louis, Mo	. 60	x
PERSONNEL				W/- 1
A. S. Hemphill F. W. Huntington	Mgr., Sanitation Plant Vice Pres. (Personnel and Office).	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	10 110	4
J. E. Schopflin	Mgr., Ad-Service Div	St. Louis, Mo	2	x
PRODUCTION				1.4
ST. LOUIS OFFICE, LABORATORIES			* 1	G.
K. E. Garrison Douglas Hale	Prod. Mgr., Cereal Plants	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	100 10	
R. E. Rowland C. A. Tolin, Jr	Vice Pres. (Production) Prod. Mgr., Western Plants	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	200 40	I
CEREAL AND SANITA-	0			
Davenport, Iowa				
John Burrows	Manager	Dayenport, Iowa	100	x .
ADVERTISING		•	1	
Wm. D. Bowie W. D. Brockman	Div., Promotion Dept. 2	St. Louis Mo	40 40	X
R. L. Eskridge C. C. Fawcett	Editor, Dealer Publications	St. Louis, Mo	20	X X
C. C. Fawcett W. P. Hays Harry J. Holmes Engene Hoy E. W. Kosfeld John McGinty Grees Puster Wm. J. Sims	Asst. Director of Advertising Artist. Senior Artist Mgr., Dealer Adv. Div. Mgr., Promotion Div. Copywriter Mgr., Special Promotions Div. Artisf Eduor, Employee Magazine	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	100 2 3 7 90 1 20	x
Ed Smyth Mildred Zibell	Editor, Employee Magazine	St. Louis, Mo	7 2	X

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the company at \$50 per share as of Sept. 30, 1948—Continued

Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location o temployee (by plant, division, or sales office)	Num- ber of shares pur- chased	Stock-holders (self or immediate family) at time of purchase (x)
BUYING			*	
L. M. Kishlar	Mgr., Animal Protein, Alfalfa Mesi and Special Products	St. Louis, Mo	7.5	x
CEREAL	Div.	1 2/		
C. A. McCray	District Salesmanager	Atlanta, Ga	10	
Earl Alexander Melvin Bahle	Asst. Mgr., Accounting Div	St. Louis, Mo	. 20	x
Julian Hoffmann?	Asst. Mgr., Auditing Div	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	10	X -
Norma Wiedeker	Senior Attorney Secy. to Mgr., Accounting Div.	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	10	X

PRETRIAL CONFERENCE EXHIBIT D

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the company at \$55 per share as of Oct. 3, 1949

Total number of names.		414
Number who were previously stockholders (64%)		267
Total number of shares	10, 0	

Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Lecation of employee (by plant, division, or sales office)	Num- ber of shares pur- chased	Stock- holders (self or immediate family) at time of purchase (x)
ADVERTISING		-		
Wm. D. Bowie C. C. Fawcett V. P. Hays	Copywriter Mgr., Art Div., Prom. Dept. Asst. Dir. of Advertising	St. Louis, Mo	20 12	X X
Eugene Hoy ohn McGinty I. M. Philpott	Senior Artist Mgr., Promotion Vice Pres. (Promotion)	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	100 8 50 42	X
Villiam J. Sims	Mgr., Special Prom. Division	St. Louis, Mo	20	1
olley Branom G. Bromley	Mgr., Ingredient Supply Div Jr. Grain Merchandiser	St. Louis, Mo.	40	x .
ohn P. Brown A. Cayco H. Dean	Asst. Mgr., Soybean Div Vice Pres. (Purchasing) Mgr., Grain Buying Div	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	15 100 100	X. X
In A. Krause Iarm D. Peters I. M. Robson	Mgr., Pricing Div. Sr. Grain Merchandiser. Purchasing Agent	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	75 5 16	x x
rank Tully	Purchasing Agent Mgr., Soybean Div Intermediate Grain Merchan	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	20 100	* * *

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the company at \$55 per share as of Oct. 3, 1949—Continued

*	1	I THE THE PERSON NAMED IN	1	
			0	Stock-
			Num-	holders
	U	Location of employee	ber of	(self or
Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	by plant, division,	shares	family) a
		or sales office)	pur-	time of
1.7		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	chased	purchase
				(x)
CEREAL				0
Ivon Amend	Asst. District Salesman	San Francisco, Calif.	5	5.5
Elspeth Bennett	Mor. Nutrition Service	St. Louis, Mo.1	15	X
R. Z. Chew	Mgr., Private Laker Say	St. Louis, Mo	25	X
M: G. Crider	Business Mgr., Office Div	Stationis, Mo	25	X
J. B. Dallas Herb DeBoer	District Salesman. District Salesman.	San Francisco, Calif. Seattle, Wash	25 20	
Guy Dougherty	District Sales Mgr	Atlanta, Ga	35	. X
Leo Gaudreau	Retail Salesman.	Boston, Mass	10	
J. V. Getlin	Mgr., Cereal Sales and Promo- tion.	St. Louis, Mo		T
D. S. Hegraann	District Sales Manager	Boston, Mass	40	. x
R.L. Henry	District Sales Manager.	Memphis, Tenn Syracuse, N. Y	- 20	x
Carlyle McCray	District Sales Manager	Syracuse, N. Y.	35	, X
L. C. McCray	Retail Salesmen.	Philadelphia, Pa Philadelphia, Pa	- 10	
S. B. McCurdy	District Sales Mgr. S. Retail Salesman	Seattle, Wash	20	X .
C. K. Mills	District Sales Manager	Los Angeles, Calif.	30	X
R. C. Morgan	District Sales Manager	Los Angeles, Calif Cleviland, Ohio	,6 43	x
K. K. Pauley	Retail Salesman	New York, N. Y	. 20	x
A. O. Robertson	District Sales Manager Retail Salesman	Kort Worth, Tex Los Angeles, Calif	92	х
R. S. Smith	Vice Pres. (Cereals)	St. Louis, Mo	10 50	.,
J. J. Southcott, Jr.	Retail Salesman	Detroit, Mich.	2	X
Fred Weber	Mgr., Institutional Div	St. Louis, Mo	50	Ŷ
FINANCIAL			M	Sig.
Earl Alexander	Asst. Mgr., Acet. Div.	St. Louis, Mo	10	
Carl M. Bacon	Mgr., Budget Oiv	St. Louis, Mo	25	X
P. C. Baichly	Div. Credit Manager	St. Louis, Mo	5	* x
Otto Blumfelder	Asst. to Mgr. of Insurance Dept.	St. Louis, Mo	05	X
S. M. Cole	Mgr., Gen. Cereal Order Div .	St. Louis, Mo	15	x
G. L. Doerdaum	Div. Credit Manager.	St. Louis, Mo.	20	x
Cottrell Fox	Mgr., Contract Div. of Legal Dept.	St. Louis, Mo.	5	-%
V. W. Gieselman	Mgr., Insurance Dept	St. Louis, Mo	20	
E. D. Godfrey	Mgr., Accounting Div	St. Louis, Mo.	20	X
-Julian Hoffman	Asst. Gen, Auditor	St. Louis, Mo. : 9	- 19	
Fred Judell Adelina Conn	Counsel—Legal Dept.	St. Louis, Mo	30	X
George Machibauser	Clerical Asst. in Cashier Dept. Asst. to Mgr. Consumer	St. Louis, Mo	10	X
Mauser	Credit Dept.	St. Louis, Mo	. 5	I 100
L. B. Murdeyk	Mgr., Tax and Corporate Dept.	St. Louis, Mo	30	x 00
Helen Neiger E. R. Ger	Secy, to Vice Pres	St. Louis, Mo	11	' X
E. R. Ger	Transmer	· St Lanie Ma	100	°X
A. M. Stevens Lewis B. Stuart	Mgr., Gen. Consumer. Divo	St Louis Ma	- 10	2. X
Norma Wiedeker	Vice Pres. (Finances) Clerical Asst. to Acctg. Mgr		100	- X
0	4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	. 0	
PERSONNEL				
Naomi Burton	Mgr., Cletical Services	St. Louis, Mo	• 5	. 3
E. M. DeVeaux	Asst. Gen. Office Mgr.	St. Louis, Mo	20	x
Elvera Hofmann	Asat. Mgr., Ad-Service	St. Louis, Mo	10	X
G P Holpord	Electrician-Maintenance	St. Louis, Mo	6	X
G. R. Holroyd	Dept.			
G. R. Holroyd F. W. Huntington	Vice Pres. (Personnel and Of-	St. Louis, Mo	100	I
F. W. Huntington	Vice Pres. (Personnel and Office).	7	- 2	
F. W. Huntington Frank Schliemann, Jr.	Vice Pres. (Personnel and Of- fice). Mgr. Office Maintenance Dita.	St. Louis, Mo	0 80	. х
F. W. Huntington	Vice Pres. (Personnel and Of- fice). Mgr. Office Maintenance Dita Mgr. Asl-Service Div Section Supervisor in Plan-	7	- 2	
G. R. Holroyd F. W. Huntington Frank Schliemann, Jr., J. E. Schopflin	Vice Pres. (Personnel and Of- fice). Mgr. Office Maintenance Dita Mgr., Avi-Service Div	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	0 80	. х

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the company at \$55 per share as of Oct. 3, 1949—Continued

Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of employee (by plant, division,	Num- ber of shares	Stock- holders (self or immediate
arame of employee	Time of payron classification	or sales office)	pur- clased	family) at time of
			Carasect	purchase (x)
Public Relations				
Otis McIntosh	* 4.6	Ct Louis Ma	***	
E. A. Sindecuse	Director, Public Relations Director, Public Relations Vice Pres. (Public Relations).	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	. 18 . 50 50	1
Propuction				
Battle Creek, Mich.			3	
	Millwright Foreman Personnel Manager Laboratory Foreman	Battle Creek, Mich. Battle Creek, Mich. Battle Creek, Mich. Battle Creek, Mich.	1 5 5 4 4	
Thomas H. Miner Clare D. Townsend	General Foreman Elevator Foreman	Battle Creek, Mich. Battle Creek, Mich.	- 5 2	X
Bloomington, Ill.		·	7	
Jay-L. Clark	Superintendent	Bloomington, Ill	60	x
C. A. Edstrom N. B. Morey John O'Connor Dale Wiegardt	Acct. Dept. Mgr Manager Buyer Traffic Manager	Bloomington, Ill Bloomington, Ill Bloomington, Ill Bloomington, Ill Bloomington, Ill	113 10 25	X X X
Buffalo, N. Y.				
Roger W. Hampton Jim Hedrick Arthur Skibbe W. P. Thornton K. G. Thur C. V. Wasmund	Credit Manager Superintendent Production Trainee Ast. Grain Buyer Traffic Manager Acct. Dept. Manager	Buffalo, N. Y.	25 50 20 6 10	x x x x x
Charlotte, N. C.	0			
A. Amos Bil Brauch oe D. Scott	Superintendent Manager Acctg. Dept. Mgr.	Charlotte, N. C Charlotte, N. C Charlotte, N. C	42 37 10	X
Circleville, Ohio				
A. V. Couch Ray T. Friend M. J. Steinhauser	Manager Superintendent Order-Credit Manager	Circleville, Ohio Circleville, Ohio Circleville, Ohio	33 12 2	x
Davenport, Iowa	Side Civili Manager	46.	-	
ohn Burrows	Manager.	Davenport, Iowa		4
Edmund Cosby:	Laboratory Asst	Davenport, Iowa	100	X
Robert Garwood	Miller	Davenport, Iowa	4	
. H. Hollen	Office Manager	Davenport, Iowa	10	A
loward C. Judd Larvey J. Keyoth	Can Plant Foreman General Foreman Cereals	Davenport, Iowa	. 2	
lenry Beighserring	Shipping Foreman	Davenport, Iowa Davenport, Iowa	8.2	X
tobert Lerigo	Grain Buyer	Davenport, Iowa	3 .	
ouis Loufek Valter McDonald	Foreman (Packing)	Davenport, Iowa	3	
Valler McDonald Villiam C. Mecker	Miller Gen, Foreman (Chows)	Pavenport, Iowa	4	X
ohn Moravek	Buyer	Davenport, Iowa	. 10	
eorge J. Myers	Production Trainee	Davenport, Iowa	6	. X
liver Mohr	Chow Foreman	Davenport, Iowa	6	
rthur H. Parke.	Praffic Clerk Maintenance, Shift Lender	Davenport, Iowa	3	
eorge VanHouten	Mili Personnel, Safety, In-	Davenport, Iowa	5	
		THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PARTY OF THE PARTY O		-

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the company at \$55 per share as of Oct. 3, 1949—Continued

				-
			Num-	Stock- holders (self or
· Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of employee (by plant, division, or sales office)	ber of shares pur- chased	immediate family) at time of purchase
(8)				(x)
Production-Con.				
Denver, Colo.				•
Ted Chafee T. F. Warshauer	ManagerProduction Trainee	Denver, Colo Denver, Colo	10	x .
Dundee, Mich.			2 49	
H. C. Weghorst	Manager	Dundee, Mich	12	. 1
· Fort Worth, Tex.				
E. E. Braznell	Superintendent. Traffic Manager Asst. Buyer General Foreman.	Fort Worth, Tex	5 25 15 2	. 1
Iowa Falls, Iowa				
H. N. Johnson Arno Tagge	Manager Asst. Manager	Iowa Falls, Iowa Iowa Falls, Iowa	12 2	T,
Kansas City, Mo.				
Charles C. Huber	Production Trainee	Kansas City, Mo	1	
Lafayette, Ind.		1		5 "
Norman Adkins Joseph F. Bonner R, M. Guenther	Superintendent Asst. Manager Manager	Lafayette, Ind Lafayette, Ind Lafayette, Ind	20. 5 70	1
bos Angeles, Calif.		1		
J. P. Andrews	Manager Buyer Laboratory Manager Office Mgr. and Order-Credit		20 10 10 5	3 1
Ross B. Smithson Jerome C. Weber	Mgr. Superintendent Acctg. Dept. Mgr	Los Angeles, Calif	60	. *
Miami, Fla.			-	
Rennie Berry James R. Lepine	Superintendent		5 10	
Minneapolis, Minn.				
Gordon L. Ekberg Ted Hotchkiss Robert I. Ranney A. C. Weberg	Loading Foreman Buyer Superintendent Manager	Minneapolis, Minn. Minneapolis, Minn. Minneapolis, Minn. Minneapolis, Minn.	10	I .
Minneapolie, Minn. (Ry-Krisp Plant)				
Algot Hoberg	Bakeshop Foreman	Minneapolis, Minn	1	
Richard Partridge Edward Uhrich Oscar Wicks	Asst. Superintendent:	Minneapolis, Minn Minneapolis, Minn Minneapolis, Minn	2	
Nashrille, Tenn.			6	1
J. F. Austin	Superintendent	Nashville, Tenn	50	
John Blunt W. A. Gunn James D. Martin	Asst. Manager	Nashville, Tenn Nashville, Tenn	75 46 2	1

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the Company at \$70 per share at of Sept. 22, 1950—Continued

		-		
		1	4000 9 74	
				Stock-
and the second			Num-	holders
4.		Location of employee	ber of	(self or
Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	(by plant, division,	shares	Immediat
Name of Chippoyee	Title or payron cassinoacion	or sales office)	pur-	family) a
		or sales onice)		time of
		. 9	chased	purchase
				(x)
14				: 13
34.				
SALES-Con.				
A		V .		
North Atlantic States				
Division				•
100	2			
George W. Barton	District Salesman	Buffalo, N. Y.	15	
Floyd Blaser	Territory Salesman District Salesman	Buffalo, N. Y.	10°	X.
Raibh Everett	District Salesman	Buffalo, N. Y.	. 20	I
C. Dean Fales	Asst. Division Sales Mgr	Buffalo, N. Y.	50	M 1
C. Dean Fales H. I. Frederick Carl W. Fribolin	Asst. Division Sales Mgr. District Salesman District Salesman	Buffalo, N. Y	25	T
Carl W: Fribolin	District Salesman	Buffalo, N. Y	25	
John Mallinan	District & lesman.	Buffalo, N Y	25	
D. R. Hodgins	District Salesman	Buffalo N V	° 25	¥
J. B. Johnson	District Salesman District Salesman	Buffalo N V	25	x
C. W. Moyer Q	District Sale man	Ruffalo N V	15	x
L. O. Reynolds.	District Salesman	Ruffalo N V	5	
Warren Searfoss	District Salesman	Buffalo M V	20	********
J. G. Stecher	Territory Salesman	Buffelo N V	10	Y .
8. A. White	Territory Salesman Division Sales Manager	Buffalo N. I	50	7. 2
Stanley M. Woolley	Torritore Salesman	Duffale N. I	30	. 1
Statiley M. Wooney	Territory Salesman	Buffalo, N. Y	25	x
Ohena Dintalan			. 60	1
Shore Division				1
Olla Nr. Amia	The military Calarman	G. V		
Olin M. Avis	Territory Salesman	St. Louis, Mo	10	X
J. D. Davis	Territory Salesman	St. Louis, Mo	10	x
C. M. Dryden	Territory Salesman	St. Louis, Mo	25	. 1
Meade Summers	Territory Salesman Territory Salesman Territory Salesman Division Sales Manager	St. Louis, Mo	60	I
EASTERN REGION-				
GENERAL STAFF				

Vincent A. Bergman	Mgr., Merchandising	St. Louis, Mo	50	* *
Hollis H. Brower	Mgr., Poultry Meat Sales	St. Louis, Mo	20	. 1
Irene Pitts	Secy. to Regional Sales Mer	St. Louis, Mo	30	I.
Wm. Thompson	Mgr. Sanitation and Farm	St. Louis, Mo	10	
	Supply Sales.		1	
STORES				
	. 0			
Northern Stores				
	Store Manager Manager Manager Manager Manager Store Manager Manager Store Manager			
Roger Barden	Store Manager	St. Louis, Mo	5	x .
Norris S. Edmunds	Store Manager	St. Louis, Mo	30	
John Ghenes	Store Manager	St. Louis, Mo	3 !	
James L. Hammett	Store Manager.	St. Louis, Mo	5	
S. G. Maniatty	Store Manager	St. Louis, Mo	. 2	. x
S. G. Maniatty John P. O'Brien:	Store Manager	St. Louis, Mo	5	
Earl Rankin	Store, Manager	St. Louis Ma	5	
F. D. Smith	Manager, Northern Stores	St. Louis Mo	40	*
F. D. Smith Elbert Truesdale	Store Managere	St. Louis, Mo	5	
Bernard L. Young	Store Manager	St. Louis, Mo	. 5	
		og Douis, Mid		
Delinard D. Toding				
Southern Stores				
Southern Stores	Store Manager	St Louis Mo	40	
Southern Stores W. F. Cash, Jr.	Store Manager	St. Louis, Mo	40	
W. F. Cash, Jr	Store Manager	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	40 20	
W. F. Cash, Jr	Store Manager St	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	40 20 3	
W. F. Cash, Jr	Store Manager Store Manager Store Manager Store Manager	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	40 20 3 20	
W. F. Cash, Jr. P. E. Freeman. J. P. Garvin, Jr. W. H. Holloway. M. Forest Pandolph	Store Manager Store Manager Store Manager Store Manager Store Manager	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	40 20 3 20 10	
W. F. Cash, Jr. P. E. Freeman. J. P. Garvin, Jr. W. W. Holloway	Store Manager	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	40 20 3 20 10 75	

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the company at \$55 per share as of Oct. 3, 1949.—Continued

Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of employee (by plant, division,	Num- ber of shares	Stock- holder (self or immediate family) at
		or sales office)	pur- chased	time of purchase (x)
PRODUCTION—Con.		0.		:
Oakland, Calif.	8 43		-	
W. R. Arends Edwin C. Murray William G. Raymond Herold Zerweck	Manager Superintendent Buyer Accountant and Office Mgr	Oakland, Calif Oakland, Calif Oakland, Calif Oakland, Calif	100 20 4	1 1
Omaha, Nebr.				
Dewey C. Agnew Roy M. Bernhardt	Superintendent Stock Foreman	Omaha, Nebr	9 3	
Lloyd R. Grover Keith J. Peck	Production ForemanTraffic Manager	Omaha, Nebr Omaha, Nebr Omaha, Nebr Omaha, Nebr	1	
Pocatello, Idaho				
Harold Ory Charles Ward	Manager Superintendent	Pocatello, Idaho		
Richmond, Ind.		G /		. 64
V. H. Frisch Dwight E. Lanman, Sr. Kichard D. Spencer David Toschlog	Manager Superintendent Acctg. Dept. Mgr.	Richmond, Ind	6 2	
St. Johnsbury, Vt.	dia sept.			:
Norman Chase C. W. Hedrick Paul Munkittrick	Stores Accountant Manager Mill Office Mgr	St. Johnsbury, Vt. St. Johnsbury, Vt. St. Johnsbury, Vt.	14	X
St. Louis, Mo.		4		1
Harry Colwell Alex Gardner H. E. Haecker George Lanz Fred Nell Edward C. Niles, Jr H. Schaeperkoetter L. D. Varble	Manager Production Fateman Stock Dept, Mgr Production Trainee Credit Dept, Mgr Acets, Dept, Mgr Traffic Dept, Mgr Office Manager	St. Louis, Mo.	10 4 10 5 10 8	X X X
Sanitation Plant				
A. S. Hemphill Fred Homfekit Al Jenisch Joe Yakopovic	Stock Clerk Office Manager	St. Louis, Mo	3	x
Stockton, Calif.				
Joseph Grijalva Wayne D. Nusbaum	Superintendent	Stockton, Calif	10 20	
Tampa, Fin.			-	0
Francis J. Davis Hubert L. Parr, Jr	Manager Order-Traffic Dept. Mgr	Tampa, Fla	10 2	
Visalia, Calif.	4			
Buzz Staed Alex Wainwright	Manager	Visalia, Calif Visalia, Calif	18	
Wichita, Kans.				-
Frank E. Eurust.	Chow Production Leader	Wichita, Kans Wichita, Kans	20	

PRETRIAL CONFERENCE EXHIBIT F

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who requested an apportunity to purchase common stock of the Company in Sept. or Oct. 1951

Total number of names	167
	3, 769

			1	1
Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of em- ployee (by plant, division, or sales office)	Num- ber of shares pur- chased	Stockhold- ers (self or immediate family) at time of pur chase (x)
PERSONNEL				
F. W. Huntington	Vice Pres., Personne and Of-	St Louis Mo	100	. 3
	fice.	St. Douis, Arc.	100	
PUBLIC RELATIONS			San	
O. O. McIntosh Marie Schaffer	Director of Public Relations Secy. to Vice President, Pub- lic Relations.	St. Louis, Mo	. 15	X .
Earl A. Sindecuse	Director of Public Relations.	St. Louis, Mo	100	, x
PRODUCTION				
EASTERN PLANTS				
Buffalo, N. Y.				
Bill Derr	Credit Department	Ruffalo N V	- 50	: 0.
Roger Hampton	Credit Manager	Buffalo, N. Y	100	X
F. W. Karl P. M. Rizzo	Foreman.	Buffalo, N. Y. Buffalo, N. Y. Buffalo, N. Y. Buffalo, N. Y.	5	X
Charlotte, N. C.			-	
Don Fischer	Asst. Standards Mgr	Charlotte, N. C	.6	. 1
Delmar, Del.	•			
S. A. White	Manager	Delmar, Del	50	
Jackson, Miss.			. "	10
W. C. Winter	Superintendent	Jackson, Miss	7	· x
Miami, Na.				
J. Q. Tilghman	Manager	Miami, Fla	7	x
Wilmington, Del.		165		
A. L. Fager	Asst. Manager	Wilmington, Del	. 2	x
CENTRAL PLANTS			· ·	
Bloomington, Ill.	1			
R. G. Fagerburg	Order-Credit Mgr	Bloomington, Ill.	-1	
Fort Worth, Tex.			9	
R.E. Cowan	Manager	Fort Worth, Tex	, 63,	x -
R. S. Cowan	Manager Maintenance Foreman Order Credit Manager	FOR WOFFER TEX		X
Etta Pauline Martin	Chief. Order Dept	Fort Worth Tex	18	x .
L. A. Pharr	Asst. Manager Accounting Dept. Mgr.	Fort Worth, Tex	. 20	X:
Iowa Falls, Iowa		100		
Horold W. Rell	Order-Credit Mgr	Iowa Falls, Iowa	2	
If N. Johnson	· Manaker	lowa Falls, lowa	20	X

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the company at \$55 per share as of Oct. 3, 1949—Continued

	4			
Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of employee (by plant, division, or sales office)	Num- ber of shares pur- chased	Stock-holders (self or immediate family) at time of purchase (x)
PRODUCTION-Con.				
Wilmington, Del.				
Ralph B. Dill, Jr Edward A. Dunning D. F. Reeder	General Forenian Superintendent Manager	Wilmington, Del Wilmington, Del Wilmington, Del	4 10 10	1 1
ST, LOUIS CENERAL OFFICE	Mahage			
L. C. Altmansberger	Mgr., Budget and Cost Divi-	St. Louis, Mo	, 10	1
T. R. Atchison D. K. English K. E. Garrison	Sion. Gen. Traffic Manager Mgr., Engineering Dept Mgr., Cereal and Sanitation Plants.	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	100 120 150	1
Carl Gettler Dave In Grant Douglas Bale	Cereal Division Engineer	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	25 50 15	į
J. F. Jones	Production Mgr., Western	St. Louis, Mo	· 18	x
Harry Knobelock	Traffic Mgr., Merchandise and Cereal Div.	St. Louis, Mo:	6.	x ·
Norvell J. Martin. Wm. O. Maurer J. R. McCann. A. J. O'Brien. Mary Jane Pickel. Claude F. Ross R. E. Rowland C.B. Smith George H. Steel. Alvin Tolin. John R. Wright	Eastern Div. Engineer. Central Div. Engineer. Mgr. Fire Prevention Div. Personnel Mgr. Secy. to Vice President. Staff Engineer, Engrg. Dept. Vice Pres. (Production). Asst. Utilities Engineer. Safety Director. Production Mgr., Central Plants. Western Div. Engineer.	St. Louis, Mo	15 50 5 35 5 10 399 6 6 50	X X X
RESEARCH			.0	
B. F. Beaver	Mgr., Chemical and Control Div. of Disease Control Lab.	St. Louis, Mo	. 8	**********
Roy Brandenburger	Mgr., Sanitation and Farm Supply Dept.	St. Louis, Mo	: 75	I
C. S. Johnson	Vice Pres. (Products and Research).	St. Louis, Mo	100	. x .
Emmett B. Johnson	Asst. Mgr., Product Control	St. Louis, Mo	. 80	
R. E. Lubbehusen Tom J. Potts H. C. Schaefer W. C. Schofield	Mgr., Disease Control Labs Mgr., Analytical Laboratory Mgr., Nutrition Res. Labs Asst. Mgr., Disease Control	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	25 5 10 25	1 1
W. C. Sherman Harold Sleight	Mgr., Biological Res. Labs	St. Louis, Mo	2	*
John Wear Claude Welch Harold Wilcke	Mgr., Experimental Farm Mgr., Product Control Asst. Director of Research	St., Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	1	X X

List of key employees of Ralston Purma Company who purchased common stock of the company at \$55 per share as of Oct. 3, 1949—Continued

Name of employee	*Title or payroll classification	Location of em- ployee (by plant, division, or sales office)	Num- ber of shares pur- chased	Stockholders (self or immediate family) at time of pur- chase (x)
SALES			* 1	8
EASTERN ROGION			. 400	
Canadian Division				
Henrico Boissý	District Salesman	Toronto, Ontario	10	
Central Atlantic States Division				
L. B. Agee C. M. Apgar W. E. Cassell C. E. Ficke J. D. Fowler Horace V. Ginn, Jr. C. A. Hauck J. W. Honeysett George Richardson P. H. Sprenkle W. F. Sumner W. P. Thomas F. L. Wickham A. E. Williams A. S. Zerbee Lake States Division Luther Fetters John Harmon Fred Schuler	Perritory Salesman District Salesman Territory Salesman District Salesman District Salesman Salesmanager District Salesman	Baltimore, Md Columbus, Ohio Columbus, Ohio	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 20 20 225 10 70	X X X X X X X X
New England Division Stanley Baraw G. M. Gockman John Gosrd, Jr Dwight Harlow Hollis Humphrey B. B. Hussey Eric B. Johnson T. R. O'Toole C. J. Reylek	District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman Territory Salesman Territory Salesman Territory Salesman	Boston, Mass Boston, Mass Boston, Mass Boston, Mass Boston, Mass Boston, Mass Boston, Mass	20 25 2 25 2 25 25	1
North Atlantic Division George Ash, Jr R. E. Barden G. W. Barden Ralph Everett Dean Fales Harold Frederick D. R. Hodgins J. B. Johnson C. W. Meyer B. S. Morse W. H. Searfoss L. R. Shutz J. G. Stecher & K. O. Stephens B. J. Terpenning S. A. White	District Salesman District Salesman Staff Man District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman Territory Salesman District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman	Binghamton, N. Y. Buffalo, N. Y. Buffalo, N. Y. St. Louis, Mo. Buffalo, N. Y.	10 50 20 30 15 10 20 10 20	X X X X
Shore Division				
J. A. Correll James D. Davis Mende Summers	District Salesman Territory Salesman Salesmanager	St. Louis, Mo. St. Louis, Mo. St. Louis, Mo.	36 26 116	x

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the company at \$55 per share as of Oct. 3, 1949—Continued

	ompany at 550 per share			only a few comments on the
d F				Stockhold-
		Location of em-	Num-	
67	The state of the s	. ployee (by plant,	ber of	ers (self or immediate
Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	division, or sales	shares	family) at
		office)	pur- chased	time of pur-
5.	•0		cuased	chase (x)
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	4		
SALES-Con.				
SALES-COIL				****
ST. LOUIS GENERAL				10
OFFICE			0	
V. A. Bergman	Merchandising Mgr	St. Louis, Mo	70	
Hollis Brower	Mgr., Poultry and Fattening	St. Louis, Mo		x
	Chow Sales.	4		
Albert Leonard	Mgr., Livestock and Specialty	St. Louis, Mo	14	X
Town Dies	Chow Sales.	O. T N.		
Irene Pitts	Secy. to Sales Manager	St. Louis, Mo	8	X
GRAIN BELT-WESTERN			20	
REGION			1	
California Division		100		1
Noel E. Benger	District Salesman	Los Angeles, Calif.	10	
Redmond Cotter	District Salesman		. 5	
Willard Gray	District Salesman	Los Angeles, Calif	10	
C. C. Henley	District Salesman Territory Salesman	Los Angeles, Calif	5	T
Gilbert Hopkins	Territory Salesman	Los Angeles, Calif	5	
E. D. Marshall Herb Mergendoller		Los Angeles, Calif	5	
Fred Perner	District Salesman	Los Angeles, Calif	10	
Don Purcell	1 Salesmanager	Los Angeles, Calif	80	
Dan J. Ramey	District Salesman	Los Angeles, Calif	. 10	
Robert Tewksbury	District SalesmanStaff Man	Los Angeles, Calif	5	
Keith Weitz	Staff Man	Los Angeles, Calif	. 10	X.
Robert Woolley	Staff Man.	Los Angeles, Calif.	10	
John H. Zieten	District Salesman	Los Angeles, Calit	15	
Central States Division	1		. 12	
	· ·	1::::	, ,	
J. O. Reasley	District Salesman	St. Louis, Md	. 10	X
R. W. Boatright	District Salesman	St. Louis, Mo	5	X
Horace-Boyd	Territory Salesman	St Louis Mo	10	
M. R. DeVoe	District Salesman	1 St Louis Mo .	20 10	X
J. C. Dixon	District Salesman	St. Louis, Mo	10	
F. R. Harrison	District Salesman	St. Louis, Mo	5	. x ,
Ray Hopewell	District Salesman	St. Louis, Mo	10	
W. C. Hoppe K. V. Johnson	District Salesman District Salesman	St. Louis, Mo	10	x
William L. Kerth	District Salesman	St. Louis, Mo	10	
M. E. Lipscomb	District Salesman District Salesman	St. Louis, Mo.	15	. · X
M. E. Lipscomb H. B. Morris	District Salesman	St. Louis, Mo	25	X
K. G. Walsh	District Salesman	St. Louis, Mo	10	, X
John Wunderlich	District Salesman	St. Louis, Mo	20	X
Missouri-Iowa Division				
		Des Malane		
W. R. Allan Harry W. Allen	District Saleman	Des Moines, Iowa	15	
L. P. Flickinger	District Salesman.	Des Moines, Iowa Des Moines, Iowa	16	. X .
John A. Harrison	District Salesman	Des Moines, Iowa	10	: X
B. E. Heacock	District Saleman	Des Moines, Vowa	. 20	X O
E. W. Karr B. M. Knight	Salesmanager	Des Moines, Jowa	28	. X.
	District Salesman	Des Moines, lowa	10	X
Henry R. Lyle, Jr	Territory Salesman	Des Moines, Iowa	10	
J. W. McBurney Thane Merrill	District Salesman Territory Salesman	Des Moines, Iowa Des Moines, Iowa	P 10	X
Louis H. Ostrom	District Salesman	Des Moines, Iowa	15	
	1			
Mountain Division				
Paul E. Austin	District Salesman	Denver, Colo	20	- x
C. F. Bathke	District Salesman.	Denver, Colo	3	
George L. Fouke	District Salesman	Denver, Colo		*******
W. O. Garwood				***********
W. B. Kilgore	District Salesman. Salesmanager	Denver, Colo Denver, Colo	10 50	- X
A. G. Salfen	Territory Salesman	Denver, Colo	20	
		The state of the s		

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the company at \$55 per share as of Oct. 3, 1949—Continued

		- Company of the Comp		
Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of em- ployee (by plant, division, or sales	Num- ber of shares pur-	Stockhold- ers (self or immediate family) at
. 4		office)	chased	chase (x)
SALAS Con.	. 0			
North Central States Division		19		
Carl W. Anderson	District Salesman	Minneapolis, Minn.	20	**********
H. E. Bilgrien James N. Dalton	District Salesman District Salesman	Minneapolis, Minn. Minneapolis, Minn.	-10	*********
Eric K. Engman	Territory Salesman	Minneapolis, Minn.	10	**********
Lucille Henriksen	Secretary. District Salesman	Minneapolis, Minn	10	X
Glenn R. Hicks:	District Salesman	Minneapolis, Minn. Minneapolis Minn.	10	
N. L. Purcell	District Salesman	Minneapolis, Minn.	5	. X
Erdman Reichart	District Salesman	Minneapolis, Minn.	15	
K. L. Thompson C. P. Williamson	District Salesman	Minneapolis, Minn. Minneapolis, Minn.	10	X
	0	1		
Western States Division		V Chan Wa	10	
A. D. Adair. C. C. Armstrong	District Salesman	Kansas City, Mo Kansas City, Mo	100	x
J. W. Carter	District Salesman	Kansas City, Mo Kansas City, Mo	. 20	x
Dorothy Dieckman	Secretary	Kansas City, Mo.	100	x
G. L. James	Salesmanager District Salesman	Kansas City, Mo Kansas City, Mo	50	x .
O. C. King R. E. Moser	District Salesman	Kansas City, Mo	20	x
W. D. Noakes	District Salesman District Salesman	Kansas City, Mo	20	
E. B. Replogle	District Salesman	Kansas City, Mo	5	X 6'
Edward H. Shores	District Salesman	Kansas City, Mo	20	
Omar J. Strout	Territory Salesman District Salesman	Kansas City, Mo	15	**********
W. W. Ward	District Salesman	Kansas City, Mo	1	
ST. LOUIS GENERAL OFFICE				
C. R. Bankhead	Mgr. Livestock Chow Sales,			
J. E. Hoff.	Grainbelt Western Region. Mgr. Poultry Chow Sales, Grainbelt Western Region.	Kansas City, Mo	50	, x
B. R. McCrory	Mgr. Special Chows, Grain- belt Western Region.			
Helen Miller	Sec'y, Sales Manager, Grain-	Kansas City, Mo Kansas City, Mo	15	
E. B. Pratt	belt Western Region. Mgr. Dairy Chow Sales, Grainbelt Western Region.	Kansas City, Mo	20	
7	Grambeit Western Region.	Kansas City, aro	. 20	
SOUTHERN REGION				
Nashville Division			1	
' G. S. Crowe	District Salesman	Nashville, Tenn		. x
C. I. Doss	District Salesman	Nashville, Tenn	50 40	X .
R. H. Jarrett	District Salesman	Nashville, Tenn	50	i i
H. L. Olyniec.	District Salesman	Nashville, Tenn	20	x
Harold Shelburne		Nashville, Tenn	50 80	
James F. Short		Nashville, Tenn Nashville, Tenn	50	X
J. H. Watson	District Salesman	Nashville, Tenn	25	x .
South Atlantic Division	4			
H. G. Bolton		Charlotte, N. C	100	I
Hollis Franks Lyle Leichter		Charlotte, N. C	100	. 1
Heath B. Marsh	District Salesman	Charlotte, N. C.,	70-	
J. V. McAllister	District Salesman			. X.
William L. Smith			50	-24
C. O. IT MICC.	and the second s			4

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the company at \$55 per share as of Oct. 3, 1949—Continued

Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of em- ployee (by plant, division, or sales office)	Num- ber of shares pur- chased	Stockheld- ers (self or immediate (amily) at time of pur- chase (x)
SALES-Con.				
South Central Division			٠,	
J. H. Branch	Salesmanager	Memphis, Tenn	70	x .
John H. Clemmons	District Salesman	Memphis, Tenn	2	x 3
C. M. Close	District Salesman	Memphis, Tenn	100	
H. Hagan	District Salesman		10	
esse R. Stone	District Salesman	Memphis, Tenn Memphis, Tenn Memphis, Tenn	10	*****
R. C. Trice	District Salesman	Memphis, Tenn		
Tollis Turner	Territory Salesman	Memphis, Tenn	50	X
Maurice Wilson	Territory Salesman	Memphis, Tenn	2.	*********
Southeastern States Division				
M. Allen	District Salesman	Jacksonville, Fla	10	. x
. C. Cardinal	District Salesman	Jacksonville, Fla		X .
R. L. Carter, Jr I. A. Higginbotham	District Salesman	Jacksonville, Fla	20	
E. Hinshaw	Salesinanager	Jacksonville, Fla	137	X x
I. M. Nonnemacher	District Salesman District Salesman	Jacksonville, Fla	7	x
V. E. Sherrel	District Salesman	Jacksonville, Fla	25	- x
Q. Tilghman	District Salesman	Jacksonville, Fla	10	X
ames M. Warnock	Territory Salesman	Jacksonville, Fla	5	
Texas Dirision				1
D. L. Cooper	District Salesman	Austin, Tex	10	X
J. W. Crawley	Territory Salesman	Austin, Tex	1 10	X
ohn T. Frazier	District Salesman	Austin, Tex	. 20	
Sam H. Merritt	District Stlesman	Austin, Tex.	10	
R. Lyles Payne	District Salesman	Austin, Tex	. 75	x
R. C. Scott	District Salesman	Austin, Tex.	100	х
Jack Turner	District Salesman	Austin, 104		
ST. LOUIS GENERAL OFFICE				
Dorsey Guthrie	Mgr. Special Product Sales, Southern Region.	St. Louis, Mo	25	1 2
Carl Leupold	Merchandise Mgr., Southern Region.	St. Louis, Mo	1	*
Kathleen O'Rourke J. B. Pullen	Secy. Sales Manager. Sales Mgr. Livestock Sales, Southern Region.	St. Louis, Mo		
ST. LOUIS GENERAL STAFF				
Beryl Campbell	Asst. to Gen'l, Sales Mgr Mgr. Custom Mixing Div	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	18	
C. S. Coyle George Otto	Mgr. Dealer Store Manage-	St. Louis, Mo	25	x
E. M. Putney	Vice Pres. Gen'l, Mgr. Chow Sales.	St. Louis, Mo	100	x
Harry Soott Fletcher Smith	Mgr. Export Sales Div. Mgr. Northern Div. Check-R- Board Stores.	St. Louis, Mo		
Harry Stein	Board Stores.		1	
S. C. Wisè	Mgr. Dealer Money Manage- ment Div.	St. Louis, Mo,	-1. 10	X
EXECUTIVE SPECIAL				1
Donald Danforth ' Evelyn-Leatherbury	President Secy, to Chairman of the	St. Louis, Mo		1
Tom Roe	Asst. to Chairman of the	St. Louis, Mo	1:	x

Board

PRETRIAL CONFERENCE EXHIBIT E

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the Company at \$70 per there as of Sept. 22, 1950

Total number of names 411
Number who were previously stockholders (73%) 300
Total number of shares 9,659

		Location of em-	Num- ber of	Stockhold- ers (self or
Name of imployee	Title or payroll classification	ployee (by plant, division, or sales office)	shares pur- chased	immediate family) at time of pur- chase (x)
*Advertising		14		
W. R. Boulware	Senior Asst., Advertising	St. Louis, Mo	. 6	
W. D. Bowie. W. D. Brockman.	Supervising Copywriter	St. Louis, Mo	30	i i
W. D. Brockman.:	Mgr., Censor & Records Divi- sion, Promotion Dept.	St. Louis, Mo	10	I, 5
R. L. Eskridge	Editor, Dealer Publications	St. Louis, Mo	5-	
W. P. Hays.	Manager, Art Division, Promotion Dept. Asst. Director of Advertising	St. Louis, Mo		1
E. H. Hoy	Senior Artist	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	50 2	T.
M. E. Malin	Mgr., Chow Advertising Div.,	St. Louis, Mo	30	
John McGinty	Promotion Dept. Mgr., Promotion Div., Pro- motion Dept.	St. Louis, Mo	80	x
W. J. Sims.	Mgr., Special Promotions Div., Promotion Dept.	St. Louis, Mo	15	
BUYING	Div., Promotion Dept.			
Volley Branom	Mgr., Ingredient Supply Dept.	St. Louis, Mo		x
John Brown	Asst. Mgr., Soybean Section, Buying Department.	St. Louis, Mo		. x
E. A. Cayce R. H. Dean	Vice President (Purchasing) Mgr., Grain Buying	St. Louis, Mo.	100	X
Willam Krause	Mgr., Pricing Div., Buying	St. Louis, Mo	50	x
Herbert S. Meredith	Dept. Asst. Mgr., Bricing Div., Buying Dept. Senior Grain Merchandiser	St. Louis, Mo		x
Harm D. Peters	Senior Grain Merchandiser	St. Louis, Mo	10	
John J. Skapik	Asst. to Mgr., Bag Supply	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	8	X
Frank Tully Donald Walker	Purchasing Agent	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	15	
	Mgr., Soybean Div., Buying Dept.	St. Louis, Mo	100	X .
CEREAL			-	
Elspeth Bennett	Mgr. Nutrition Service	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mc	5	x
M. G. Crider	Business Mgr., Office Div District Salesman			x
		Calif. Seattle, Wash	22	
Herbert DeBoer James Denahue	District Salesman	Seattle, Wash Memphis, Tenn	- 20 15	. x .
R. L. Henry W. W. Knorr C. A. McCray R. C. Morgan K. K. Paul	District Salesman	Atlanta Ga	9.0	X
C. A. McCray	District Salesman	200 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 Ph	-	x
R. C. Morgan	District Salesman	Parladelphia, Pa New York, N. Y New York, N. Y Cleveland, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio	12 25	X
K. K. Pauley	Asst. District Salesman	New York, N. Y	15	x
Wesley J. Pence	District Salesman	Cleveland, Ohio	10	3
Don Pickard	District Salesman	Minneapolis Minn	. 34	X
A. O. Robertson	District Salesman	Minneapolis, Minn Fort Worth, Tex	26	*X *
Robert Siler	Asst. District Salesman	Detroit, Mich	7	
Clifford Siverson	Asst. District Salesman	Los Angeles, Calif.	5	X
EXECUTIVE SPECIAL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Evelyn Leatherbury	Secretary of Chairman of the Board.	St. Louis, Mo	. 50	X
Tem Roe	Sr. Asst. to Chairman of the		- 15	ж
Vivian Thompson	Secretary to the President	St. Louis, Mo	10	1
	130			

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the Company at \$70 per share as of Sept. 22, 1950—Continued

Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of em- ployee (by plant, division, or sales office)	Number of shares purchased	Stockholders (self or immediate family (at time of purchase (x)
FINANCIAL		•		
Earl Alexander	Asst. Mgr., Accounting Dept.	St. Louis, Mo	20	x
Carl Bacon	Mgr., Budget Division	St. Louis, Mo	. 10	x
Melvin Bahle	Accountant Division Mgr., Chow Credit.	St. Louis, Mc	15	·
Paul Brichly Robert Bliss	Asst., General Consumer	St. Louis, Mo	10	X,
8, M. Cole	Mgr., General Cereal Order-	St. Louis, Mo:	10	1
Cettrall For	Credit Div. Senior Lawyer	St. Louis, Mo	5	3-
George Gillespie	Accountant.	St. Louis, Mo	15	» I
U. I. Harris	Jr. Assistant, Finance	St. Louis, Mo	4	
Maxine Hasbrouck	Secretary to Treasurer	St. Louis, Mo	6	.1
Forrest Heacock	Mgr., Analytical Section,	St. Louis, Mo	: 10	. 1
Julian Hoffman	Asst. Mgr., Auditing Div	St. Louis, Mo	10	& x: /
Robert Jasper	Analyst, Budget Division	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	/ 5	x
Fred Judell	Senior Lawyer Asst. Mgr., General Con-	St. Louis, Mo		X /-
George Muehlhauser	sumer Credit and Adjust-	St. Louis, Mo	5	*
L. B. Murdock	Asst. Secretary, and Manager of Tax and Corporate Dept.	St. Louis, Mo	33	. 1
Helen Neiger	Secretary to Vice President	St. Louis, Mo	6	X
C. W. Scheele	'Auditor	St. Louis, Mo	5	
E. R. Siler	Treasurer	St. Louis, Mo	93	X
A. M. Stevens	Mgr., General Consumer Credit and Adjustment Di- vision.	St. Louis, Mo	20 ·	x
A. P. Truex	Mgr., Sales Records Division.	St. Louis, Mo	7	
PERSONNEL			0	*
W A Centahar	Mgr., Planning Division	St. Louis, Mo	. 1	
W. A. Crutcher F. W. Huntington	Vice President (Personnel)	St. Louis, Mo		*
A. W. Moise	Director of Personnel	St. Louis, Mo	150	X.
J. E. Schopflin	Mgr., Ad-Service Dept	St. Louis, Mo	. 3	. x
Joseph Whealen	Mgr., Office Supply Dept	St. Louis, Mo	3	1
PRODUCTION .				
ST. LOUIS OFFICE, LAB- ORATORIES, AND RE-		200		
SEARCH PARMS				4
T. R. Atchison	Mgr., General Traffic Dept Mgr., Organic Research Lab-	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	50 10	:
D. K. English	oratories. Mgr., Engineering Dept	St. Louis, Mo	85	
K. E. Garrison	Division Production Manager	St. Louis, Mo	200	1
D. L. Grant	Division Production Manager	St. Louis, Mo	105	x .
Rupert Green	Mgr., Research Farm	St. Louis, Mo	. 15	x
Douglas Hale	Mgr., Cereal Development Div.	St. Louis, Mo	15	I
Cecil Hite.	Dept. Mgr., Research Farm.	St. Louis, Mo	5	. 1
H. T. James	Soybean Production Manager	St. Louis, Mo	10	x
Emmet Johnson	Asst. Mgr., Product Control	St. Louis, Mo	50	x
T P Young	Dept. Division Production Manager	St. Louis, Mo	-50	. 1
A. N. Kuelper	Dept. Mgr., Research Farm.	St. Louis, Mo	3	
George T. Lanz	Research Engineer.	St. Louis, Mo	10	*
N. J. Martin	Division Engineer	St. Louis, Mo	. 15	X.
James McCann	Fire Prevention Engineer	St. Louis, Mo	- 5	. 1
W. B. Milhollen	Dept. Mgr., Research Farm Mgr. Personnel, Production	St. Louis, Mo	5 60	A X
	. Dept.			
A. J. O Diku				-
C. R. Perdew	Dept. Mgr., Research Parm	St. Louis, Mo	5	X
C. R. Perdew	Dept. Mgr., Research Parm. Mgr., Assalytical Laboratories	St. Louis, Mo	4	X
C. R. Perdew	Dept. Mgr., Research Parm	St. Louis, Mo	1, 225	

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the Company at \$70 per share as of Sept. 22, 1950—Continued

Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of em- ployee (by plant, division, or sales office)	Num- ber of shares pur- chased	Stockhold- ers (self or immediate family) at time of pur- chase (x)
P				
Production-Con.				
ST. LOUIS OFFICE, LAB- ORATORIES, AND RE- SEARCH FORMS—Con.				: 6
W. C. Schofield	Asst. Mgr., Disease Control & Sanitation Research La-	St. Louis, Mo	25	1
Wrn. C. Sherman	boratories. Mgr., Biological Research Laboratories.	St Louis, Mo	3	x
Harold Sleight	Mgr., Farm Management Div.	St. Louis, Mo		1
Clifford Smith	Engineer, Utilities Div	St. Louis, Mo		I.
R. E. Spangler	Asst. Mgr., Research Farm	St. Louis, Mo		. 1
George Steel	Mgr., Safety and Training, Production Department.	St. Louis, Mo.		
Wallace Taylor	Mgr., Research Recofds Dept.	St. Louis, Mo	. 2	I
C. A. Tolin.	Division Production Manager.	St. Louis, Mo	70	x
John Wear	Mgr., Research Farm	St. Louis, Mo	75	
Claude Welch	Asst. Director of Research	8 Louis, Mo 8 Louis, Mo	25	i
John Wright	Division Engineer Research	St. Louis, Mo	22	I
			1	
CENTRAL PLANTS		1-		
Rloomington, Ill.				
D. A. Bremer	Power Engineer			1
N. B. Morey	Manager Extraction Plant Supt	Bloomington, Ill		
John T. O'Conner	Buyer	Bloomington, Ill	10	I
Dale Rowland	Stock Dept. Manager	Bloomington, Ill	. 3	
Vernon Tracy	Millwright Foreman	Bloomington, Ill		
Dunkin Welte	Elevator Supt Traffic Manager	Bloomington, Ill		
F. D. Wiegardt	Traine Manager	Diodinington, In.		
Fort Worth, Tex.	6 - C - W W	Fort Worth, Tex		1
Chas. DeVol	Accounting Dept. Mgr	Fort Worth, Tex		
Iowa Falls, Iowa			1.	1
Harold Bell B. A. Beck Merton Caruth H. N. Johnson Arno Tagge	Manager	Iowa Falls, Iowa Iowa Falls, Iowa Iowa Falls, Iowa	1 10	4
Kansas City, Mo.				1
L. O. Hul	Office Manager	Kansas City, Mo.		
E. G. Hotchkiss	Assistant Manager	Kansas City, Mo	25	
Charles Huber	Stock Foreman	Kansas City, Mo. Kansas City, Mo.	. 3	1
Louis Loeney	Traffic Manager Shipping Foreman	Kansas City, Mo.	1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
LeRoy Marolf		Kansas City, Mo.		
Lynn E. Stuart	Office Production Asst		- 5	1
Lubbock, Tex.	0		1	
	Traffic Office Manager	Lubbock, Tex		
Frie Carlson	Manager Standards Dept	Lubbock, Tex Lubbock, Tex Lubbock, Tex	-10	
Donaki Fischer	Asst. Mgr., Standards Dept	Lubbock, Tex		*********
Minneepolis, Minn.				0.0
T. M. Donnell	Accounting Dept. Mgr	Minneapolis, Minn	1 :	
Gordon Ekberg	Loading Foreman	Minneapolis, Minn	1 1	1
Ervin Lang, Jr	Buyer	. Minneapolis, Minn		
R. L. Ranney	Stock Foreman	. Minneapolis, Minn Minneapolis, Minn		
Folke Olson	Manager			

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company wko purchased common stock of the Company at \$70 per shope as of Sept. 22, 1950—Continued

Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of employee (by plant, division, or sales	Num- ber of shares pur-	Stockhold- ers (self or immediate family (at
4		office)	chased	time of pur- chase (x)
Production-Con.		•		1.0
Omaha, Nebr.				**
Roy Bernhardt Donald Brown Lloyd Grover William Heebner Woodrow Megel T. F. Warshauer W. C. Wells	Order Dept. Manager Production Foreman	Omaha, Nebr Omaha, Nebr Omaha, Nebr Omaha, Nebr	1 2	
St. Louis, Mo.			+	
Harry Colwell Eugene Hurst V. F. Kern Fred Neil Edward Nies	Manager Accountant Mill Personnel Manager Credit Dept. Manager Accounting Dept. Manager	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	22	X X X
Wichita, Kans.		,	. ·	
Frank Earnst O. P. Sherrill	Production Foreman	Wichita, Kans Wichita, Kans	15	x x
EASTERN PLANTS		C,		
Buffalo, N. Y. Donald Brown Charles Fisher	Eastport Whse, Manager Stores Auditor	Buffalo, N. Y Buffalo, N. Y Buffalo, N. Y	2 5	· .
Wallace Flack Roger Hampton James Hedrick William Krieger Philip Rizzo	Warehouse Manager, Credit Manager. Superintendent . Stock Department Mgr. Personnel Foreman. Tinsmith Foreman.	Buffalo, N. Y Buffalo, N. Y Buffalo, N. Y Buffalo, N. Y	3 15 100 3 30	· x x x
Carl Schnitzer. Arthur Skibbe W. P. Thornton Kenneth Thur C. V. Wasmund	Mill Production Trainee Assistant Buyer Traffic Manager Accounting Manager	Buffalo, N. Y	10 10 5 5 10	X X X
. Charlotte, N. C.	4.			0
James Amos G. Brauch J. D. Martin J. J. Warren	Superintendent Manager Production Foreman Order-Credit Manager	Charlotte, N. C Charlotte, N. C Charlotte, N. C Charlotte, N. C	6 40 1 3	I I
Circleville, Ohio			. /	
A. V. Couch	Manager. Superintendent. Order-Credit Manager.	Circleville, Ohio Circleville, Ohio Circleville, Ohio	. 35 . 11 2	X X
Lafayette, Ind.	1		-	
R. M. Guenther Boyd W. Horn Roy Mansfield John Quinlan	Manager Warehouse Manager Order Dept. Manager Mill Production Trainee	Lafayette, Ind Lafayette, Ind Lafayette, Ind Lafayette, Ind	50 3 1 3	X
Miami, Fla.				
Rennie Berry James Lepine	Manager	Miami, Fla	3 5	. x
Nashville, Tenn. 9	• 1.			
Joda Austin J. S. Blunt Young Devereaux	Superintendent Manager Stock and Accounting Mgr	Nashville, Tenn Nashville, Tenn Nashville, Tenn	50 75 10	· I

List of key employees of Ralston Puring Company who purchased common stock of the Company at \$70 per share as of Sept. 22, 1950—Continued

Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of em- ployee (by plant, division, or sales office)	Num- ber of shares pur- chased	Stockhold- ers (self or immediate family) at time of pur chase (x)
Production-Con.				
Nashville, Tenn.				
Frank Lloyd	Warehouse Manager	Nashville, Tenn	12	/
Richmond, Ind.				
Robert Dugan V. H. Frisch Richard Spencer David Toschlog	General Foreman Manager Accountant Order Department Mgr	Richmond, Ind Richmond, Ind Richmond, Ind Richmond, Ind	10 2 2	I I
St. Johnsbury, Vt.			. , .	-
John MacKenzie James McKinley Paul Munkittrick	Mill Production Dept Superintendent Mill Office Manager	St. Johnsbury, Vt St. Johnsbury, Vt St. Johnsbury, Vt	10 5	k x
Tampa, Fla.	•			
F. J. Davis H. L. Parr, Jr	ManagerOrder-Traffic Manager	Tampa, Fla	10 2	X X
Wilmington, Del.				
Moses Keller	Warehouse Manager	Wilmington, Del Wilmington, Del	10	1
PACIFIC-MOUNTAIN PLANTS	4.			
Denver, Colo.			-	
W. T. Chafee Donald Dornan W. C. Horst Laurence Johnson V. I. McLeod	Manager Maintenance Foreman Accounting Dept. Mgr Mill Office Manager Office Manager	Denver, Colo Denver, Colo Denver, Colo Denver, Colo Denver, Colo	1 5	
Los Angeles, Calif.	9 6	~		
J. P. Andrews Walter J. Grauer Walter Kiesler Robert Moffitt	Manager Maintenance Foreman Traffic Manager Laboratory Manager	Los Angeles, Calff Los Angeles, Calff	15 5 3 3	ı, ı
Oakland, Calif.				
W. R. Arends Francis Doyle Delbert Jarman O. A. Kern Edwin Murray R. A. Palmer	Manager Mill Office Manager Production Foreman Mill Foreman Superintendent Maintenance Foreman	Oakland, Calif	100 . 3 1 3 20 1	1
Pocatello, Idaho				
R. B. Brown Harry Burkey Harold Ory Charles Ward	Order Dept. Manager. General Foreman Manager Superintendent	Pocatello, Idaho Pocatello, Idaho Pocatello, Idaho Pocatello, Idaho	10	T
Stockton, Calif.			-	
Jeseph Grijalva	Superintendent	Stockton, Calif	10	x
Visalia, Calif.				

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the Company at \$70 per share as of Sept. 22, 1950—Continued

Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of employee (by plant, division,	Num- ber of shares	Stock- holders (self or immediate
Name of carpove		or sales office)	pur- chased	family) at time of purchase (x)
PRODUCTION-Con.		1	*	13
CEREAL AND SANITA-				
Battle Creek, Mich.			-:	
Arthur Armstrong Howard Bacon William Barnes Joseph Berger Richard Bromley A. C. Dunn Nelson Heydenberk Richard Lind Neal Nelson	Shipping Foreman General Night Foreman Millwright Foreman Asst. Superintendent Personnel Manager Power Foreman Order Dept: Manager Engineer Superintendent Standards Dept, Manager	Battle Creek, Mich.	3 3 8 21 5 5 5 14	1 1 1 1
Billie Seymour	Standards Dept. Manager	Batto Creek, Milen.		
John Burrows Edmund Cosby Robert Garwood Eugene Hollen Howard Judd Harvey Keyoth Robert Lerigo Louis Loufek William Mecker Oliver Mohr George Myers Arthur Parke Arnold Paulsen Raymond Tomilson George Van Houten	Manager Laboratory Manager Ralston Miller Office Manager Can Plant Foreman General Foreman—Cereals Grain Buyer Cèreal Foreman General Foreman—Chows Chow Foreman Mill Production Trainee Order Dept. Manager Chow Loading Foreman Maintenance Foreman Maintenance Foreman Personnel Foreman	Davenport, Iowa	100 1 3 16 2 2 3 2 5 2 4 2 2 3	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
George Van Houten Ralph Vidal	Superintendent Order Traffic Manager	Davenport, Iowa Davenport, Iowa	25 2	i
Ry-Krisp, Minne- apolis, Minn.		1		1
E. K. Furness	Accountant	Minneapolis, Minn. Minneapolis, Minn.	5	100
Sanitation-Farm Supply		. 0.		
Walter Barenkamp A. S. Hemphili Fred Homfeldt Alfred Jenisch Louis Noel Joseph Yakopovic	Production Foreman Manager Mill Office Manager Office Manager Superintendent Order-Traffic Manager	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	15 2 5 10 2	1
PUBLIC RELATIONS				
J. D. Sykes	Vice President (Public Rela-	St. Louis, Mo	. 75	
Otis McIntosh Marie Schaffer	tions). Director of Public Relations Secretary to Vice President	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	10	, I
SALES	* *		-	
ST. LOUIS GENERAL STAFF		•		
Harold Arthur Roy Brandenburger	Assistant, Sales Training Mgt., Sanitation-Farm, Supply Div	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mos	100	1 .
Beryl Campbell Charles Coyle	Husiness Mgr., Sales Dept	St. Louis, Mo	40 20	r r

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the Company at \$70 per share as of Sept. 22, 1956—Continued

Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of employee (by plant, division, of sales office)	Num- ber of shares pur- chased	Stock-holders (self or immediate family) at time of purchase (x)
SALES-Con.				
C. W. Darby	Asst. Mgr., Sanitation-Farm Supply Div.	St. Louis, Mo	-50	x
Grace Kost	Secy. to Vice Pres., & Gen'l. Chow Sales Mgr.	St. Louis, Mo	10	
E. M. Putney	Vice President & Gen'l. Chow Sales Mgr.	Bt. Louis, Mo	300	
Elmon Vickers	Mgr., Sales Training Div	St. Louis, Mo	. 50 . 15	I
GRAIN BELT-WESTERN REGION	.,	•		
- California Division				. 0
Redmond Cotter	District Salesman District Salesman Asst, Div. Salesmanager District Salesman District Salesman Territory Salesman Division Sales Manager Territory Salesman Mgr., Poultry Chows and Sanitation Products.	Los Angeles, Calif.	10 25 55 20 10 7 100 14 40	I I
Benjamin Hall	Territory Salesman District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman Territory Salesman Territory Salesman	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	10 15 10	I I I
Missouri-Iowa Ilisi-				
Harry W. Allen C. E. Ferguson. L. W. Karr Henry Lyle, Jr. Thane Merrill Don M. Minert Charles Steinhauer Russell Thomas Harry Van DePol.	District Salesman Territory Salesman Division Sales Manage Territory Salesman Territory Salesman District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman	Des Moines, Iowa Des Moines, Iowa Des Moines, Iowa	10 100 100 5 2 4 25 20	X X X
Mountain States Divi- sion				
Paul E. Austin	District Salesman	Denver, Colo Denver, Colo Denver, Colo Denver, Colo Denver, Colo	10	# #
North Central States Division	-			The state of the s
Eric Engman Glenn Hicks Harold Holl Glenn Thoeny C. Morris Thorson	Territory Salesman District Salesman District Salesman Territory Salesman District Salesman	Minneapolis, Minn. Minneapolis, Minn. Minneapolis, Minn. Minneapolis, Minn. Minneapolis, Minn.	10 10 10 12 3	1 1

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the Company at \$70 per share as of Sept. 22, 1950—Continued

Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of employee (by plant, division, or sales office)	Num- ber of shares pur- chased	Stock- holders (self or immediate family) at time of purchase (x)
SALES-Con.				
Western States Division			7.	
				0.3
A. D. Adair.	District Salesman	Kansas City, Mo Kansas City, Mo	25 75	1
Jack Benner	District Salesman	Kansas City, Mo	25	
Dorothy Dieckman	Secy. to Salesmanager District Salesman:	Kansas City, Mo Kansas City, Mo	10 25	I
Leonard Metz	District-Salesman	Kansas City, Mo	20	
E. H. Shores	District Salesman Territory Salesman	Kansas City, Mo Kansas City, Mo	8 5	1
James L. Well	District Salesman.	Kansas City, Mo	3	i
GRAIN BELT-WEST-			2 1	
ERN REGION-GEN-		1 4.		
BRAL STAFF				
C, R. Bankhead	Mgr., Livestock Chow and	St. Louis, Mo	70	A. E.
J. E. Hoff	Sanitation Products Sales. Mgr., Poultry Chew and	St. Louis, Mo	50	1000
Helen Miller E. B. Pratt	Sanitation Product Sales. Secy. to Regional Sales Mgr. Mgr., Dairy Chow and Sani-	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	15 25	1
H. J. Sugden	tation Product Sales. Mgr., Merchandising	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	75 50	
Emmet Williams	Asst. Division Salesmanager	St. Louis, Mo	. 30	-
SOUTHERN REGION				
Nashville, Division				1 2
Forrest W. Crowe. G. S. Crowe Ray B. Davenport Ira Fears. H. L. Olyniec. James F. Short J. E. Streetman J. Howard Watson	District Salesman Division Sales Manager Store Manager	Nashville, Tenn Nashville, Tenn Nashville, Tenn Nashville, Tenn Nashville, Tenn Nashville, Tenn Nashville, Tenn Chattanooga, Tenn	15 20 3 75 5 10 50	1 1 1 1 1
South Atlantic States Division				
H. G. Bolton	District Salesman	Charlotte, N. C	10	
Hollis Franks	District Salesman	Charlotte, N. C	25	1
Bruce Hutto	District Salesman	Charlotte, N. C	25	T
Heath B. Marsh	District Salesman	Charlotte, N. C	25	î
J. V. McAllister, Jr	District Salesman Division Sales Manager	Charlotte, N. C	20 90	I.
R. P. Oliver Wm. L. Smith	District Salesman	Charlotte, N. C	25	1
C. O. White	District Salesman	Charlotte, N. C	25	1
South Central States Division	2	_	a .	
J. H. Branch	Division Sales Manager	Memphis, Tenn	25	1
John H. Clemmons	District Salesman	Memphis, Tenn	2	x
C. M. Close	District Salesman	Memphis, Tenn Memphis, Tenn Memphis, Tenn	25	x
W. M. Davidson P. H. Johnson, Jr	District Salesman District Salesman	Memphis, Tenn	20 15	
J. E. Ruffin s	District Salesman	Memphis, Tenn Memphis, Tenn	- 6	X.
J. R. Stone	District Salesman	Memphis, Tenn	10	X.
Robert Trice	District Salesman Territory Salesman	Memphis, Tenn.	10	X
T. E. Veitch	District Salesman	Memphis, Tenn	25	X
Maurice A. Wilson	· District Salesman	Memphis, Tenn	4	1

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who purchased common stock of the Company at \$70 per share as of Sept. 22, 1950—Continued

		1		Stock-
Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of employee (by plant, division, or sales office)	Num- ber of shares pur-	holders (self or immediate ofamily) at
		or sales office)	chased	time of purchase
		1 2 4 7		(x)
	3	5.4		
SALES-Con.			*	
Southeastern States Division				•
Charles M. Allen	District Salesman	Jacksonville, Fla	2	x x
R. L. Cartet, Jr	District Salesman	Jacksonville, Fla	25	G X
H. I. Deloney L. S. Hamrick	Territory Salesman	Jacksonville, Fla Jacksonville, Fla	10	
C. O. Stephens, Jr	District Salesman	Jacksonville, Fla	15	X
Texas Division				
T. H. Buckingham	District Salesman	Austin, Tex.	25	
Robert E. Curtis	District Salesman	Austin, Tex	2	
R. Lyles Payne	Territory Salesman	Austin, Tex	15	. x
Arch Price	District Salesman	Austin Tex	150° 25	X X
John E Schulte	District Salesman Store Manager	Austin, Tex Garland, Tex Austin, Tex	15	X o
L. D. Stefens J. B. Windham	District Salesman	Austin, Tex	20	· x
J. B. Windham	District Salesman	Austin, Tex	10	
SOUTHERN REGION— GENERAL STAFF		•		
Warren Garrard, Jr	Poultry Specialist Mgr., Merchandistag Mgr., Livestock Chow Sales	St. Louis, Mo	5	x
Carl Leupold	Mgr., Merchandising	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	25 30	X
J. B. Pullen C. R. Severson	Mgr., Poultry Chow Sales	St. Louis, Mo	. 25	
EASTERN REGION		·		1
Central Atlantic States Division		100		
J. D. Fowler	Territory Salesman	Baltimore, Md	10	· x.
H. V. Ginn, Jr	Territory Salesman	Baltimore, Md	5	
J. J. Gwaltney	Territory Salesman District Salesman	Baltimore, Md Baltimore, Md Baltimore, Md	15 25	
C. A. Hauck	District Salesman	Baltimore, Md	20	
G. R. Richardson	Division Sales Manager	Baltimore, Md	0100	
H. Clay Weaver, Jr	District Salesman	Baltimore, Md	10 25	I
A. S. Zerbe	District Salesman	Baltimore, Md	25	1
Lake States Division				1.
Cary T. Hartman	District Salesman	Columbus, Ohio	10	x .
Cary T. Hartman	Division Sales Manager		50	X
Fred W. Schuler	District Salesman	Columbus, Ohio	23	
New England States Division				
Stanley O. Baraw	District Salesman	Boston, Mass	25	x
G. M. Cookman	District Salesman	Boston, Mass Boston, Mass	10	x
Warren L. Gray	District Salesman	Boston, Mass	3	
Dwight Harlow	District Salesman	Boston, Mass	25	. X
Hollis Humphrey B. B. Hussey.	Territory Salesman	DOSCOIL MINS	40	x .
G. S. Osgood	Territory Salesman		6	x
Thomas O'Toule	Territory Salesman	Roston, Mass	1 . 8	X
Louis Pegram	Dog Chow Specialist	Boston, Mass Boston, Mass	5	X .
A. W. Per	Division Sales Manager. District Salesman	Boston, Mass Boston, Mass Boston, Mass	50 15	X X
C. J. Reylek	Wetriot Salasman			

List of Key employees of Balston Purina Company who requested an apportunity to purchase common stock of the Company in Sept. or 1951—Continued

		2		Stock
1		-	Num-	holders
Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of employee (by plant, division, or slass office)	ber of shares pur-	(self or immediate family) at time of
		7.	chased	purchase (x)
The second secon		The state of the s		
PRODUCTION-Con.			0'	
Kansas City, Mo.				
D. H. Elmore	Credit Manager	Kansas City, Mo	C:86	
F. G. Franze	Asst., Secretary and Buyer	Kansas City, Mo	6	X
David Mattson	Maintenance Traince Manager	Kansas City, Mo	30	X
J. C. Skaggs Dorothy H. Webb	Accounting Dept. Mgr	Kansas City, Mo Kansas City, Mo	4	
Lafayette, Ind.				
	And Maniana	Tatamatta Ind		
Joseph F. Bonner	Asst. Manager Credit Manager	Lafayette, Ind Lafayette, Ind	5	
Ralph M. Guenther	Manager	Lafayette, Ind	- 50	9 3
John K. Quinlan	General Foreman	Lafayette, Ind	2	X .
Minneapolis, Minn.	in the		2 4	P
R. L. Ranney	Superintendent	Minneanolis Minn	20	100
A. C. Weberg	Manager	Minneapolis, Minn Minneapolis, Minn	20	î
Ralph Wittman	Traffic Manager	Minneapolis, Minn.	2	********
Nashrille, Tenn.				6
Joda Austin	Superintendent	Nashville, Tenn	50	x
John Blunt	Manager	Nashville, Tenn	50	. x
Omaha, Nebr.				
RoyBernhardt	Stock Foreman	Omaha, Nebr	2	x
St. Louis, Mo.		•	,	
George H. Appel	New Construction Foreman	St. Louis, Mo	- 5	.х
H. L. Colwell	Manager Production Foreman	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	20	· . X
Alexander Gardner L. D. Varbie	Office Manager	Sf. Louis, Mo	5	. 1
		•		
Wichita, Kans.	****			
Forrest Heacock	Order-Credit Trainee	Wichita, Kans	. 15	. 3
Harold J. King	Maintenance Foreman:	Wichita Kans		
Chas. H. Peterson	Order-Credit Manager Manager	Wichita, Kans	15	
A. G. Richmond	***************************************		3 .	9 -
WESTERN PLANTS				
Los Angeles, Calif.				a ·
Jerry Andrews	Manager	Los Angeles, Calif		×
Robt. A. Moffitt	Laboratory Manager	Los Angeles, Calif	. 2	х.
Oakland, Calif.				
W. R. Arends	Manager	Oakland, Calif	- 25	1
Francis A. Doyle	Mill Office Clerk	Oakland, Calif	1	x
D. G. Jarman	Production Foreman	Oakland, Calif Oakland, Calif	- 1	*
Richard A. Palmer	Maintenance Foreman	Ohkland Calif .	. 2	X
W. G. Raymond	Office Manager	Oakland, Calif.	5	X
H. E. Zerweck	Omet Manager	Oakland, Calif	0	
Visalie, Calif.				
Wm. C. Mecker	Manager.	Visalia, Calif	9 2	x

List of Key employees of Ralston Purina Company who requested an opportunity to purchase common stock of the Company in Sept. or Oct. 1951—Continued

	1.			
	. Chr	Lambian of ample	Num-	Stock- holders (self or
Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of employee (by plant, division, or sales office)	ber of shares pur- chased	immediate family) at .time of purchase
		No.	¢ ,	(x)
PRODUCTION-Con.		,		
CEREAL PLANTS		2011	4	
Battle Creek, Mich.				
Wm. Barnes Nelson Heydenberk John Meister	Maintenance Foreman Order Dept. Mgr Manager	Battle Creek, Mich. Battle Creek, Mich. Battle Creek, Mich.	5 1 20	X X X
CEREAL PRODUCTS DEVELOPMENT	110	10		
Douglas Hale	Mgr., Cereal Products Devel-	St. Louis, Mo	15	x
CHOW RESEARCH AND PRODUCT CONTROL	opment.			
R. M. Bethke	Vice President, Chow Re-	St. Louis, Mo	200	
W. B. Brew	Search, Mgr., Organic Research Lab- oratories.	St. Louis, Mo	15	x
Charles E. Elienberger Herbert A. Graff	Chemist , Asst. to Mgr., Product Control Dept.	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo		X
Rupert A. Green	Mgr., Eastern Research Farm Mgr., Records Div., Research Farm.	Nashua, N. H. Gray Summit, Mo.	4. 5	x x
M. H. McDonald	Asst. to Mgr., Product Con- trol Dept.	St. Louis, Mo	50	r
W. B. Mihollen	Mgr., Poultry Div., Research	Gray Summit, Moc.	. 5	x
C. R. Perdew /	Mgr., Dairy Div., Research	Gray Summit, Mo.	20	x
T. J. Potts	Mgr., Analytical Labs	St. Louis, Mo	3	x
Herbert Schaefer	Mgr., Special Chow Research. Secy. to Vice Pres. (Research).	St. Louis, Mo	1.	X
Wm. Schoffeld	Asst. Mgr., Disease Control and Sanitation Res. Labora- tories.	St. Louis, Mo	25	X
W. C. Sherman	Mgr., Biological Labs	St. Louis, Mo	5	x
Herbert J. Smith R. E. Spangler	Asst. Mgr., Research Farm	St. Louis, Mo. Gray Summit, Mo.	20	· X
John M. Wear	Mgr., Research Farm	Gray Summit, Mo	15	X
Harold L. Wilcke Roland Winterfield	Veterinarian	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	25	. X
R. E. Woodward	Asst. to Mgr., Product Con- trol Dept.	St. Louis, Mo	5	х .
ST. LOUIS GENERAL OFFICE		1		-
L. C. Altmansberger. 2.	Mgr., Budget and Cost Div. Production Dept.	St. Louis, Mo	. 10	x
Mary Jane Lynch	Secretary to Vice Pres. (Production).	St. Louis, Mo	2	x
J. R. McCann	Mgr., Fire Prevention Sec., Production Dept.	St. Louis, Mo:	. 5	X
Claude F. Ross	Engineer Engineer	St. Louis, Mo	5	X
C. B. Smith G. H. Steel	Mgr., Safety.and Training	St. Louis, Mo	55	x
John R. Wright	Division Engineer	St. Louis, Mo	15	, X
SALES				
ST. LOUIS GENERAL STAFF		•		
C. W. Darby	Asst. Mgr., Sanitation Farm Supply Div.	St. Louis, Mo	. 10	3.
J. R. Pree	Asst. to Mgr., Sanitation Farm Supply Div.		7	Х
S. C. Wise	Mgr., Dealer Money Manage- ment Div	St. Louis, Mo.	15	1

List of Key employees of Ralston Purina Company who requested a opportunity to purchase common stock of the Company in Sept. or Oct 1951—Continued

		a construite de la formación con de consistencia como comedificación de construito de construito de construito		Marie and the second of the second of	
	Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of employee	Num- ber of shares	Stock holders (self or immediat
			or sales office)	pur- chased	family) a time of purchase (x)
	•			-	
•	Sales-Con.		12	2.1	
0	EASTERN REGION	//		1	
	General Staff		9		
	John Gearhart H. V. Ginn	Dairy Specialist	Columbus, Ohio Newark, Del	· 10	x
	John Hall A. H. Leonard	Manager, Training Mgr., Livestock, Chow and	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	10	x
	Irene Pitts	Sanitation Product Sales Secy. to Regional Sales Mgr.	St. Louis, Mo		x
	Central Atlantic			1/10	
	Division	07.14		9	
	F. L. Wickham	District Salesman	Baltimore, Md	25	X.
	Lake Division			9	
	J. B. Wilson	District Salesman	Columbus, Ohio	100	x
	New England Division	Let I have been also			Sent
	Wm. H. Hutchings Eric B. Johnson John Sweeney	District Salesman District Salesman Territory Salesman	Boston, Mass Boston, Mass Boston, Mass	25 20 5	X X
	North Atlantic Division				
	George Ash Michael Barlow	District Salesman District Salesman	Buffalo, N. Y Buffalo, N. Y	10	X
	Floyd R. Blaser	Territory Salesman Salesmanager	Buffalo, N. Y Buffalo, N. Y	100	X
	H. I. Frederick Cari Fribolin J. F. Hallinan D. R. Hodgins John B. Johnson	District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman	Buffalo, N. Y Buffalo, N. Y	30 50	X X X X
	Wm. O. Matteson Clinton Meyer Lee Van Atta S. M. Wooley	District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman	Buffalo, N. Y.	10 20 50 35	x
	Shore Division				
	O. M. Avís J. A. Correll J. D. Davis M. V. DeAntonellis C. M. Dryden	Territory Salesman District Salesman Territory Salesman District Salesman Territory Salesman	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	44 40 .10 .50	X X X X
	C. J. Reylek Meade Summers	District Salesman Salesmanager	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	50	x
	GRAINBELT REGION				
	Missouri-Kansas Division				
	H. A. Allen	District Salesman District Salesman	Kansas City, Mo Kansas City, Mo	10	. x
	PACIFIC REGION				,
	D. C. Purcell. 1	Regional Salesmanager	Los Angeles, Calif.,	- 50	. х

List of Key employees of Ralston Purina Company who requested an opportunity to purchase common stock of the Company in Sept. or Oct. 1951—Continued

	11 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 -		-	
Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of employee (by plant, division, or slaes office)	Num- ber of shares pur- chased	Stock-holders (self or immediate family) at time of purchase (x)
		A commence of the commence of		
SALES-Con.		, ,		
SOUTHERN REGION		:		
South Central Division		. 9	,	1 4
J. H. Branch T. E. Veitch		Memphis, Tenn Memphis, Tenn	-25 50	
Nashville Division				-
G. S. Crowe	District Salesman	Nashville, Tenn	. 150 50	x X
South Atlantic Division				
H. G. Bolton Hollis Franks C. O. White	District Salesman District Salesman District Salesman	Charlotte, N. C	20 37 50	X X X
Export Division				
H. A. Scott	Salesmanager	St. Louis, Mo	20	х .
WESTERN REGION				. *
Texas Division			4 4	
	District Salesman	Acception (Plan		
R. C. Scott	District Salesman	Austin, Tex	100	X
CHECK-R-BOARD STORES			,	
General Staff			-	-
John Huffman	Mgr., Construction & Equip- ment Section.	St. Louis, Mo	50	
NEW ENGLAND STORES				
R. T. Alden Clifton England Jane Cikatz	Store Mgr., Ellsworth, Me Store Mgr., Orleans, V.t. Asst. to Store Mgr., Norwich, Conn.	St. Johnsbury, Vt. St. Johnsbury, Vt. St. Johnsbury, Vt.	8	x
Roger Plunimer, Jr Bernard L. Young		St. Johnsbury, Vt.		x
SQUTHERN STORES,	., .			2.0
W. W. Holloway	Store Mgr., East Point, Ga	St. Louis, Mo	10	. x
MORTHERN, STORES				1.
P. L. Atkinson	Store Mgr., Watertown, N. Y. Store Mgr., Traverse City,	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	50	
Robert J. Kaiser	Mich. Salesman—Traverse City, Mich.	St. Louis, Mo	10	
Herold Scott	Store Mgr., Cobleskill, N. Y. Mgr., Northern Division Store Mgr., Ashland, Ky	St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Louis, Mo	50 50 5	x
SALES	d .			
ST. LOUIS GENERAL STAFF		1		-
H. Dorsey Guthrie	Mgr., Sanitation and Farm	St. Louis, Mo	, 10	× x
Carl Leupold	Supplies, Southern Region. Mdsc. Mgr., Southern Region.	St. Louis, Ma.	19	X
	4			

List of key employees of Ralston Purina Company who requested an opportunity to purchase common stock of the Company in Sept. or Oct. 1951—Continued

Name of employee	Title or payroll classification	Location of employee (by plant, division, or sales office)	Num- ber of shares pur- chased	Stock- holders (self or immediate family) at time of purchase (x)
Sales-Cen.		The second secon		
GRAIN BELT-WESTERN REGION				
Central States Division				
Herbert B. Morris	District Salesman	St. Louis, Mo	60	6
Southern region. Nashville Division				
Ira L. Fears	District Salesman	Nashville, Tenn	100	x

PRETRIAL CONFERENCE EXHIBIT G

Sales of special stock, 10/1/47

(Certificates E 6001 through E 6264) Price: \$47.50

Name	Shares bought	Shares sold	Date sold	Sale price
Otis P. Sherrill	20	10	11/4/48	
*Wilfred J. Riley and Mary V. J. Riley, JTRS	- 30	30	5/19/48	
471		25		54
Glenn C. Pittenger and Mildred S. Pittenger, JTRS	10		8/4/49 5/31/49	
David E. Ward	50	10 50		.50 81
James Wilkes Mathers and Ruth Catherine Louise Mathers,	, 30	30	1/26/50	81
JTRS	10	10	1/16/50	81
***Charles Will Darby and Elizabeth B. Darby, JTRS	10	10	.1/16/50	80
Glbson Graham and Mrs. Eleanor I. Graham, JTRS.	20	20	9/6/49	1
*Leonard G. Ray and Mrs. Ruth I. Ray, JTR8.	25	25	12/1/49	
Louis A. Clements	4.05	10	7/21/48	
Virgil O. Wodicka and Helen K. Wodicka, JTRS		10	• 3/12/48	
Leon M. McCorkle		20	8/26/48	50
Mrs. Edith Kosfeld		12	5/2/50	75
John T. O'Conner and Mrs. Velma E. O'Conner, JTRS		10		1 .0
J. Keith Lungren and Mrs. Mary L. Lungren, JTRS	35	35	9/12/50	. 77
Donald C. Joy and Mrs. Vera L. Joy, JTRS	20	20	9/21/50	77
J. T. Weaver and Mrs. Margaret E. Wegwer, JTRS		10	7/6/50	8014
J. 1. Weaver and Mrs. Margaret E. Weaver, J 1 RS.	10	10	1/0/30	80752
Total.		317		

^{*}Left Purina.

PRETRIAL CONFERENCE EXHIBIT H

Sale of special stock, 9/30/48. .:

Ralston Purina Company (Treasury Account) stock (Certificates E 6833 Aprough E6846, E 6850, E 6854 through E 6860, and E 6863 through E 6867). Price: \$50.00

Name	Shares	Shares Sold	Date sold	Sale price
				1

Retired.

^{***}Left Purina at time of sale, subsequently reemployed.

PRETRIAL CONFERENCE EXHIBIT I

Sale of special stock, 10/3/49

[New Issue Stock]

(Certificates E 8401 through E 8851) Price: \$55.00

Name .	Shares bought	Shares	Date sold	Sale price
Harold Shelburne John J. Southcott, Jr., and Mrs. Barbara M. Scheott, JTRS. Clifford B. Smith and Emma Irene Smith, JTRS. John T. O'Conner and Mrs. Velma E. O'Conner, JTRS. Adelina E. Kuehn and Eleanor A. Khehn, JTRS. Eleanor A. Kuehn and Adelina E. Kuehn, JTRS. Carl W. Anderson and Idabelle Corella Anderson, JTRS. W. W. Ward and Helen G. Ward, JTRS. George J. Myers. Total.	50 2 6 10 5 5 5 15 6	40 2 1 10 5 5 5 5 5 6	4/11/50 10/24/50 2/6/51 3/30/51 3/21/51 6/1/51 9/10/51 2/20/51	80 80 79 793 81

^{*}Left Purina.
**Bought home.

PRETRIAL CONFERENCE EXHIBIT J

Sale of special stock, 9/22/50

(Certificates E 9601 through E 10029 also E 10036, E 10037, E 10047) Ralston Purina Company New Issue Stock Price; \$70.00

Name	Shares bought	Shares sold	Date of sold	Sale price
*Keith B. Elliott and Mrs. Edwina Elliott JTRS John T. O'Conner and Mrs. Yelma E. O'Conner, JTRS *George J. Myers *Lester G. Hill and Carrie R. Hill, JTRS	25 10 4 6	25 10 4 6	4/9/51 6/19/51 2/20/51 5/2/51	78 %1 80
Total		45		

^{*}Left Puring.
** Bought home.

PRETRIAL CONFERENCE EXHIBIT K

COPY OF RESOLUTION DATED SEPTEMBER 11, 1951, REFERRED TO IN THE FIRST PARAGRAPH OF PRETRIAL STIPULATION

"Resolved, that Mr. Donald Danforth, Mr. Lewis B. Stuart or Mr. E. R. Siler be and each of them is hereby authorized to sell at \$80 a share, not to exceed 10,000 shares of the authorized but unissued common stock of Ralston Purina Company to employees of Ralston Purina Company or Ralston Purina Company of Canada, Ltd., who shall, without any solicitation by the Company or its officers or employees, inquire of any of them as to how to purchase common stock of Ralston Purina Company; provided, however, that if such officer is able to purchase such stock for less on the open market he is authorized to purchase stock for such employee on the open market at such lesser price; provided further that this authorization shall terminate December 31, 1951."

Total compensation ranges of Ralston employees shown on the lists of stock purchased in 1947-48-49-50 and stock requested in 1951

	Year of list	 High	Low
1947	***	\$66, 500. 00	\$3, 195. 0
1948	**********************	 55, 000. 00	4, 725. 0
1950		 80, 000. 00 71, 000. 00	2, 700. 0 2, 435. 0
1951		 43, 000. 00	3, 107. 0

[CAPTION]

Memorandum

This action, initiated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, resulted in a preliminary injunction, by agreement, restraining defendant, Ralston Purina Company, from proceeding with the offering and sale of its \$25.00 par value common stock. Plaintiff, after trial, seeks to have the injunction made

permanent.

Section 5 (a) of the Securities and Exchange Act (15 U. S. C. A. § 77e) prohibits the offer or sale of any security in interstate commerce or through the mails unless a registration statement as to such security is in effect with the Securities and Exchange Commission, plaintiff in this action. Section 4 (1) of the Act (15 U. S. C. A. § 77d (1))* provides that transactions by an issuer not involving any public offering are exempt from the provisions of Section 5 (a) (1) of the Act. Whether the stock issue in question was public or private is the issue in this case. Defendant claims it was not a public offering.

There is no conflict in the evidence. Defendant was organized in 1894. It had a small beginning: It manufactures and sells mixed feeds for poultry and livestock, and cereal for human consumption. It now has thirty-six feed mills, six soybean processing plants, three cereal plants, many warehouses and grain elevators and seventy-nine retail feed and farm supply stores. Approximately seven thousand people are employed. Net sales for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1951 ex-

ceeded \$340,000,000.

Since 1942 the company has offered stock ownership to employees who could meet its test of "key employees". The company has from time to time paid a "President's bonus" to certain "key employees", and such employees, with rare exceptions, used the bonuses to purchase stock offerings made to them.

^{*&}quot;The provisions of Section 77e of this title shall not apply to any of the following transactions: (1) * * transactions by an issuer not involving any public offering, * * *"

Defendant's Executive Vice President gave the following as explanatory of what defendant means by the term "key employees", i. e., "those who were officers, department heads, assistants to a department head, or other employees whom the Company considered eligible for future promotion to a position of greater responsibility in an administrative, production, personnel, advertising, sales or research department—one who was ambitious and likely to develop and grow with the Company's business and who exercised special influence upon other employees and was a leader and advisor to other employees and

was sympathetic to management."

To carry out its purpose of selling stock to "key employees" the defendant made known to its various managers and heads of departments a proposed stock offering. There was never The managers were depended upon to select any solicitation. The managers were depended upon to select "key employees" in their various departments, below their rank, after the company executives had conferred with the managers and outlined the matters to be considered in making selections. After the selections were made a notice was given of the From the exhibits offered we conclude that stock offering. since 1947, with total employees of approximately 7,000, purchases were made in 1947 by 243 employees; in 1948 by 20 employees; in 1949 by 414 employees; in 1950 by 411 employees. For 1951 there were applications to purchase by 165. employees. It is the latter sales that were stopped by this liti-There were applications made by employees to whom no sales were made. There were offerings made each year to a larger number than ultimately purchased. Offerings were made orally. It is conceded the mails were used in carrying out this plan. Defendant kept no record of those to whom offerings were made and who did not purchase. It estimates the offering for the year 1951 to be approximately 500 "key employees", or 5 to 8% of the total employees.

The defendant's common stock is unlisted but there are overthe-counter sales to the public. Sales to "key employees" compare with estimated sales to the public, based on month of

September for each year, as follows:

	*		Year		Over-the-counter yearly-sales (based on Sep tember sales of each year)	Yearly sales to "key" employees
1947 1948 1949 1950		 			8, 844 1, 200 3, 204 8, 545 11, 184	24: 1, 12: 10, 00: 9, 65: 2, 76: application

One reason given by defendant for selling stock direct to its "key employees" was, if they attempted to make like purchases over the counter, such demand would force the price up

artificially.

Defendant at no time has sold stock to its employees to procure needed finances. Such sales were only for purpose of securing stock ownership by certain selected employees. In 1945 the defendant sold \$10,000,000 in preferred stock to the public, by a public offering, after proper registration with the plaintiff. There is no evidence of any complaint from plaintiff or any stockholder, preferred or common, as to the character of financial statements of defendant.

Employees purchasing stock during the following years sold

their stock thereafter as follows:

1947	17	
1011	. 14	
1948	None	
1949	.9	
1950	4	

Sale of stock by employees, represented above, in most instances was by persons who left defendant's employ at time of sale.

Since 1945 defendant has sent to all stockholders its annual balance sheet statement. This statement was filed with the Commission. The defendant printed bimonthly bulletins. These were sent to all the branches, warehouses and stores and they were either posted or made available to all employees. Such bulletins showed the amount of tonnage which the company was currently producing and selling. Profit and loss statement was not shown by these bulletins.

The resolution providing for the stock issue in question, similar to resolution providing for stock offerings in previous

years, is as follows:

"Resolved, that Mr. Donald Danforth, Mr. Lewis B. Stuart or Mr. E. R. Siler be and each of them is hereby authorized to sell at \$80 a share, not to exceed 10,000 shares of the authorized but unissued common stock of Ralston Purina Company to employees of Ralston Purina Company or Ralston Purina Company of Canada, Ltd., who shall without any solicitation by the Company or its officers or employees, inquire of any of them as to how to purchase common stock of Ralston Purina Company; provided, however, that if such officer is able to purchase such stock for less on the open market he is authorized to purchase stock for such employee on the open market at such lesser price; provided further that this authorization shall terminate December 31, 1951."

No common stock was ever sold to any employee except those who were designated as "key employees." In 1951, when the managers and plant and department heads were advised of the stock being made available, in accord with the defendant's custom, only "key employees" were advised by manager and plant and department heads that such stock

would be available for purchase by them.

Payroll classification of employees, determined by defendant at various times of stock offerings as being "key employees" are: artist; copywriter, maintenance foreman; maintenance trainee: order-credit trainee; mill office clerk; loading foreman; chemist; research consultant; veterinarian; assistant in animal pathological department; secretary to production manager; stenographer to staff manager; clerical assistant to-accounting manager; electrician-maintenance department; supervisor, mail section; millwright foreman; production trainee; shipping clerk, traffic clerk; and stock clerk.

Plaintiff's principal argument is based on committee reports and administrative rulings of plaintiff, as to the meaning that should be ascribed to the word "public" in the exemption clause of Section 4 (1) of the Act. We are urged to follow these extra-statutory pronouncements in determining the meaning' of the statute. The practice does not appeal to us. We think it should be resorted to only in cases of extreme necessity when the recognized rules for statutory construction fail of their purpose. Language used by Congressional Committees is often loose. That observations of Congressional Committees will thereafter be used by the Courts to determine the meaning of laws passed by Congress cannot be said to have been in the minds of the Committee at the time of their pronouncements. Congress does not purposely pass a vague law. Committee declarations are not intended to be used for the purpose of determining the meaning of Congressional Acts by Congress. They do not represent any expression of either House of Congress. Committees of the two Houses may not agree upon the conclusions to be placed upon their actions. The latter is the In 1934 the Securities Act was amended. At that time a proposal was made in the House to exempt stock issue sold to employees of the issuer. In Conference Report the House managers of the bill stated as a reason for rejection of the amendment:

"(employees) may be in as great need of the protection afforded by availability of information concerning the issuer for which they work as are most other members of the public."

But when the same amendment was before the Senate the author of a like Senate amendment had the following colloquy with a member of the Senate Committee which considered the amendment:

"I agree that when the Senator submitted his proposed amendment it struck me as being entirely reasonable, fair and just. I took it that way. And I can see what he proposes in a favorable light. But when the bill went to conference the House Conferees insisted that there was, first, no reason for the amendment

"The contention was, and it seems to me that it is almost unanswerable, that an offering to employees solely, as provided in the Senator's amendment, is not a public offering. The argument was made that there was no occasion for this amendment, because under the law there would not be a public offering when the stock was offered simply and solely to employees. And that was the effect of the Senator's amendment. His amendment is limited, as will be seen by its language, which is—

"The term 'public offering' shall not be deemed to include an

offering made solely to the employees"

"I do not believe under the law it really does."

Senator Hastings. May I inquire—and I make this inquiry because it may be helpful in the future—whether the Senator can say that that was the judgment of the conference itself, or

is he speaking only for himself?

Senator FLETCHER. Yes; that is the judgment of the conference itself; that there is no reason why employees should not subscribe for stock, and stock be subscribed for by employees under the law as it is. And certainly there is no question in the world that the Commission has the authority to declare that such an offering would not be a public one."

The proceedings of the two Houses of Congress are in such conflict as to furnish no ground to recommend them as a help

in determining the meaning of the Act before the Court.

In 1933 the Federal Trade Commission issued a release to the effect—"Where a substantial number of persons is involved it would seem imprudent to rely on the exemption of Section 4 (1) of the Act." Then in 1935 the plaintiff issued a release and again stressed numbers as determinative whether a stock offer by a corporation is private or public. And so plaintiff's brief informs us that it has consistently interpreted the term "public offering" as contained in the exemption clause to mean an offering to a substantial number of offerees. We find nothing in the statute and statutory scheme making number of offerees the sole test as to whether a stock offering is public or private.

The exemption statute was before the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in Securities and Exchange Commission v. Sunbeam Gold Mines Co., 95 F. 2d 699 (1938). In the Gold Mines case the stock offering was made to all stockholders of two goldmining companies that were consolidating. The sole purpose of the offering was to raise finances to effect a consolidation. On the facts the authority gives little aid in the pres-But the opinion calls for serious consideration because of the position taken by the plaintiff as to the proper interpretation of the exemption statute—a position that is not now urged before this Court. We believe it far more plausible to believe that Congress has accepted the reasoning of the Gold Mines case as to the meaning of the statute and as the reason for failure to amend the Act and clarify its meaning, than that Congress accepted the administrative ruling as the reason for its nonaction, as urged by plaintiff.

Based on the Gold Mines case we conclude defendant relying on the exemption has the burden of proof. The statute is designed to protect the investing public by providing "full and fair disclosure" and information as to the securities to be offered, which shall be available to the public and upon which it can base a decision as to purchase of stock offering. Therefore an exception to the general policy of the statute must be strictly construed against the claimant of the exemption. That plaintiff has conditioned registration on such terms and conditions as to make it expensive and time-consuming for defendant cannot be made of concern to this Court. Our province is only to

interpret the law. Its reasonableness is not before us.

: In the Gold Mines case the Court adopted certain norms of construction of the exemption in the Act which were there

urged by the plaintiff:

the word public is one familiar to everyone, but of the most varied and indefinite connotations. In its broadest meaning the term 'public' distinguishes the populace at large from groups of individual members of the public segregated because of some common interest or characteristic. Yet such a distinction is inadequate for practical purposes; manifestly, an offering of securities to all red-headed men, to all residents of Chicago or San Francisco, to all existing stockholders of the General Motors Corporation or the American Telephone & Telegraph Company, is no less 'public,' in every realistic sense of the word, than an unrestricted offering to the world at large. Such an offering, though not open to everyone who may choose to apply, is none the less 'public' in character, for the means used to select the particular individuals to whom the offering

is to be made bear no sensible relation to the purposes for which the selection is made. For the purposes of an offering of securities red-headed men, residents of San Francisco, and stockholders of General Motors are as much members of the public as their antithetical counterparts. To determine the distinction between 'public' and 'private' in any particular context, it is essential to examine the circumstances under which the distinction is sought to be established and to consider the purposes sought to be achieved by such distinction."

We think this line of reasoning more in harmony with the statute than the arbitrary one of numbers, wholly absent in the Gold Mines case, and which plaintiff now asks this Court to adopt. An examination of the "circumstances under which the distinction is sought to be established" by the defendant and "the purpose sought to be achieved by such distinction" should be examined. We also consider "is there a sensible relation to the purpose for which the selection" is made by the

employer?

The dreumstances of the offering under examination are plainly and frankly spread before the Court. They involve no promotion or cash-raising scheme by the defendant. They are the same circumstances that have surrounded a like activity for several years past. Defendant has followed a consistent policy of securing its managerial and executive personnel by promotion within the organization. Without competent management any business must fail. The success of defendant testifies to the soundness of its policy. It desires to continue

the policy.

The sole purpose of the "selection" is to keep part stock ownership of the business within the operating personnel of the business and to spread ownership throughout all departments and activities of the business. No greater tie, to secure loyalty, could be forged between the corporation and its employees than part ownership in the business by the employees. It is an appeal to the employees' self-interest, but a commendable one. Defendant could confine stock offerings to those high in the executive positions but that would not accomplish its long range purpose of bringing from the ranks those who represent good prospects for company management. Defendant chooses to call such prospects, together with those who are in executive positions, "key employee." Doubtless the name was suggested by the thought that such character of employees is the key to the company's success, past and present. We have examined defendant's definition of "key employee" and see nothing hypocritical or evasive in it. We do not need expert testimony to understand the purpose of the definition and its

application to a private enterprise. Both in accord with sound business policy. Under the definition it calls for an observation of employees whom the company considers "eligible for future promotion to a position of greater responsibility" in the various departments. They consider whether the prospect is "ambitious and likely to develop and grow with the Company's business" and who has a beneficial or "special influence" among other employees, and one who is "sympathetic to management" and has the interests of the employer at heart. No better way has been suggested to find "key employees," that is employees who look like good prospects as part owners to carry on and promote the success of the company. What motive could the defendant have for such a policy other than the one announced? None has ever been suggested. The purpose of the selection bears a "sensible relation" to the class chosen.

We take it from the tenor of plaintiff's brief that if defendant had restricted its selection of "key employees" to less than 100, plaintiff would have no objections to the stock-offering as being a private one. Thus the issue is narrowed—is the stock-offering a public one because made to not to exceed 500 rather than not to exceed 100, out of 7,000 employees? To rule that it is would result in an arbitrary holding that any stock-offering made to offerees in excess of 100 would be public. Neither the statute nor any Congressional report suggests such a standard. It appears to have originated solely with plaintiff. But then what becomes of the test urged by plaintiff and set forth and approved in the Gold Mines case? Plaintiff may abandon the "common interest" and "sensible relation" doctrine but the Court of Appeals decision still stands binding on this Court unless clearly erroneous. We do not think it is clearly erroneous.

If the circumstances of this offering were such as even to suggest this corporation was taking advantage of its employees by either a false financial statement or failure to give any, we would have a different situation. If the circumstances surrounding the offering indicated it was being used to raise finances, we would have a different situation. Defendant issues yearly financial statements. They go to all stockholders. They are filed with the plaintiff. The request to purchase stock for the year 1951 (Pre-Trial Exhibit F) is typical of the previous sales. We find five representatives of the financial department making applications to purchase stock. Their ap-

^{**\$35,918,134.00} in earnings were retained for use in business as of 1951; total assets \$121,190,602.00; stockholders' equity \$78,173,030.00; cash on hand and in bank \$10,297,569. (See Def. Ex. M.)

plications are in amounts proportional to the applications in other departments. Various departments are represented in the applications and the applications for the various departments we find usually are confined to those in a supervisory, managerial or executive capacity. Salesmen from the various divisions represent an exception. Such a diversification of stock ownership cannot be said to lack "sense" from the corporate viewpoint.

The circumstances of the plan of offering stock to "key employees" lacks the slightest suggestion of a device to evade the law invoked by plaintiff. The offering has a lawful purpose

entirely independent from the objective of the law.

Plaintiff emphasizes the failure of the resolution by terms to confine the offering to "key employees." This is the same type of resolution defendant has used for like efferings in previous years. The resolution is not the offering—it is authority for the offering. What was done—the facts regarding the manner and reason for the offering, rather than the resolution, show the nature of the offering to be private. Those facts are not in dispute.

We find the stock offering described in the complaint is

private and not public under the terms of the Act.

We conclude that the offering is exempt from the provisions of the Act.

Decree may be submitted dismissing plaintiff's complaint and dissolving the temporary injunction.

(S) RUBEY M. HULEN,

Judge.

[CAPTION]

Judgment

This cause having been taken under submission and the filing of all briefs having been heretofore completed and the Court being now fully informed, doth find the issues in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiff and Adjudge that the plaintiff take nothing under its complaint and that the cause be and is hereby dismissed. And the Court doth further Order, Adjudge and Direct that the preliminary injunction heretofore entered herein on October 24, 1951 be and is hereby dissolved.

> Rubey M. Hulen, Judge.

Endorsed: Filed Feb. 14, 1952.

JAMES J. O'CONTOR,

Clerk.

[CAPTION]

Motion for restoration of preliminary injunction pending appeal

Now comes the Securities and Exchange Commission, plaintiff in the above-entitled cause, and moves the Court to restore the preliminary injunction heretofore entered by consent in this cause on October 24, 1951, pending the appeal herein from the judgment dismissing plaintiff's complaint and dissolving said preliminary injunction.

The grounds for this motion are:

(1) No harm would result to the defendant by reason of the restoration of the preliminary injunction in view of the defendant's admissions and the Court's findings that the financing in question is not necessary for the economic well-being of the defendant; and

(2) If the defendant should proceed with the offering of its securities which is sought to be enjoined and if the final judgment herein is subsequently reversed on appeal, the employee-purchasers of defendant's securities who might wish to rescind their purchases would find it difficult and embarrassing to do so in view of their employment by defendant although it is normally true that they would, under such circumstances, have the right to such recission.

Dated February 20, 1952.

(S) Thomas B. Hart, THOMAS B. HART,

(S) Alexander J. Brown, Jr., ALEXANDER J. BROWN, Jr.,

(S) Robert J. Sugrue, ROBERT J. SUGRUE,

Attorneys for the Securities and Exchange Commission, 105 West Adams Street, Chicago, Illinois.

(S) Louis Loss, Louis Loss,

Associate General Counsel, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D. C.

[CAPTION]

Stipulation

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by the Securities and Exchange Commission, plaintiff, and Ralston Purina Company,

defendant, by their respective counsel, that pending a determination of plaintiff's appeal of the judgment entered herein by the Court on February 14, 1952, defendant will not resume the offering of securities to its employees commenced in September 1951, pursuant to the resolution of its board of directors adopted on September 11, 1951, and will not sell to its employees any of its securities pursuant to said resolution.

Stipulated and agreed this 20th day of February 1952.

- (S) Thomas B. Hart, THOMAS B. HART,
- (S) Alexander J. Brown, Jr., ALEXANDER J. BROWN, Jr.,
- (S) Robert J. Sugrue, ROBERT J. SUGRUE,

Attorneys for the Securities and Exchange Commission.

THOMAS S. McPHEETERS, Attorney for Defendant.

. [CAPTION]

Memorandum for clerk

Plaintiff's motion for order Restoring Preliminary injunction pending Plaintiff's appeal herein filed and parties having stipulated as per stipulation filed by leave of Court, plaintiff's motion for order restoring preliminary injunction is denied.

ROBERT J. SUGRUE,
Attorney for Plaintiff.
THOMAS S. McPheeters,
Attorney for Defendant.

NARRATIVE OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS ON DECEMBER 28, 1951, BEFORE HONORABLE RUBEY HULEN

Mr. Sugrue appearing for the plaintiff offered all of the pretrial conference stipulations except paragraph 7 in evidence as well as Exhibit A which is referred to in paragraph 7, and the plaintiff rested.

Thereafter the defendant through Mr. McPheeters offered in evidence in its behalf the other stipulation, paragraph 7, and Exhibit A attached. Whereupon Mr. Sugrue objected to the admission of that exhibit on the ground that it was irrelevant and immaterial. The court overruled the objection. (See Defendant's exhibit A, Pretrial exhibit A, page 11.)

Lewis Stuart, a witness of lawful age, sworn and examined on behalf of the defendant, testified on direct examination;

"My name is Lewis Stuart. I live at 22 Fair Oaks, St. Louis County. I am a vice president and secretary and a member of the board of directors of the Ralston Purina Co. I have been associated with Ralston Purina Co. since 1919. I was first with the Operating Department as operator, then as Mill Manager, later becoming treasurer of the company, and in 1942 I became the Chief Financial Officer and Director. The Ralston Purina Company is a Missouri corporation incorporated in 1894. The net sales of the company for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1951, was slightly in excess of \$340,000,000. These sales consisted primarily of chows and mixed feeds for poultry and livescock; soy bean oil; sanitation and farm supply products; and cereals for human consumption.

"Ralston Purina operated 36 feed mills, 6 soy bean processing plants, and 3 cereal mills. It also operates many warehouses and elevators, some of which are owned, but most of which are leased by the company. It operates 7,000 retail concerns and employs approximately 7,000 people. It has grown from a small beginning rather steadily, but more rapidly since 1940, and the most rapid growth has been since the last war.

"There has been a great continuity in the management of Ralston Purina. Mr. William H. Danforth, the founder of Ralston Purina, is still active in its management. Most of our officers have been with the company their entire business lives. Our company follows the policy of promoting its personnel from within.

"The first record that I am certain of as to sales of Ralston" Purina stock to our employees was in 1911. From the inception of our company we have had a policy of encouraging stock ownership, particularly by our key employees. Employee interests in the purchase of the stock has at least partially been' satisfied on a number of occasions by making stock available for purchasing by our employees. In 1942 we sold 1,269 shares of our common stock to 59 key employees and in 1943 we sold 2,000 shares of our common stock to 109 key employees. The next few years we did not sell any stock, because most of our key employees owned stock in the company but with the expansion of the business and its personnel we again offered stock in 1947. In that year we sold 6,984 shares to 243 key employees. We sold stock in 1947, 1948, 1949 and 1950 and, of course, the demand for stock in 1951 was frustrated as a result of this action." Reference here was made to the pre-trial stipulation pages 11-44 where the details of such sales were set forth.

79

Mr. Stuart said that after the present action was instituted Ralston Purina did not proceed any further with the sale of stock to its employees in 1951. At the time the sale was stopped in 1951 Mr. Stuart said that 167 employees had evidenced their desire to buy Ralston Purina stock and that the company considered these 167 employees as "key employees." In response to the question as to what constituted a key employee Mr. Stuart said, "A key employee of course can be an officer or a department head or an assistant to a department head but is not confined to an organization chart. It would include an individual who is eligible for promotion, an individual who especially influences others or who advises others, a person whom the employees look to in some special way, an individual, of course, who carries some special responsibility, who is sympathetic to management and who is ambitious and who the management feels is likely to be promoted to a greater responsibility.

Mr. Stuart stated that the sales of stock to employees of Ralston Purina had been limited exclusively to such "key employees." In response to the question as to how the qualifications of key employees were judged, Mr. Stuart said, "That is largely a matter of judgment of the management. We rely on the officers of the company; our department heads principally." Mr. Stuart testified that in selecting such employees the top management consulted the men who managed the mills and who had supervision over and direct contact with a substantial number of employees.

In response to the question as to why the management was desirous of selling stock to its key employees, Mr. Stuart stated, "We feel, sir, that that creates a greater efficiency with the company, because it draws employees of the company closer together. Many of our people come from the rural area, where proprietorship is a matter of great pride to them. The "act that they feel that they are owners, at least part owners, in the company, contributes to the morale, and we feel that the idea of breaking down the gap between the ownership and management is something that is highly desirable and some-

Mr. Stuart testified that it was the employees in the first instance who had indicated their desire to buy the stock. In response to the question as to how the fact that the stock was available to the employees was made known to such key employees Mr. Stuart stated, "The president, speaking for the board of directors, notified the officers, who in turn notified the managers working directly under them, that the company had

thing that contributed substantially to the success of the com-

or was about to make some stock available. They [the managers] very carefully were told not to solicit in any way orders for stock, but simply to acquaint the people who had indicated an interest or whom they felt it was fair to notify of the situation."

At this point Mr. McPheeters read defendant's Exhibit L into the record, which exhibit was a notice which was given to every branch manager and every store manager where any key employee had evidenced any interest in purchasing stock, advising in regard to the possibility of such stock being available.

(See Defendant's Exhibit L, page 66.).

In describing the bonus which Ralston Purina paid out at the end of its fiscal year, September 30, Mr. Stuart said, "During the past several years, substantial bonuses have been paid at the end of the year. It amounts to as much as a million and a half dollars to our employees. To as many as 674 employees. Many of those employees want to invest their bonus, and we have found over the years at about that time they want to buy Purina common stock. On occasions they have gone out with their bonus money and bid against each other in the open market. That is one of the reasons why we have attempted to make stock available, so that our employees would not have to pay a perhaps temporary higher price or bid against each other during a comparatively short period."

Mr. Stuart testified that the Rals ton Purina common stock is not dealt in by anyone on any exchange but rather was sold in the over-the-counter market. As to the amount of the bonus which was paid to the employees, Mr. Stuart gave the following data: In 1942 \$100,000 was paid to 159 employees. In 1943 \$178,000 was paid to 163 employees. In 1945 \$290,000 was paid to 197 employees. In 1947, \$782,000 was paid to 256 employees. In 1948 \$734,000 was paid to 252 employees. In 1949 \$1,323,000 was paid to 561 employees. In 1950 \$1,372,000 was paid to 622 employees and in 1951 \$1,575,000 was paid to 674 employees. Mr. Stuart indicated that he was using round figures, and he stated that the key employees to whom the bonus was paid were substantially the same men as the key employees to whom the stock was sold during these years.

Mr. Stuart testified that the bonus was paid only to key employees "whom the management felt had made a special contribution." Mr. Stuart said that approximately 75% of the key employees to whom bonuses were paid over the period from 1947 through 1950 and to whom the common stock of Ralston Purina was offered were already previous stockholders

in the company.

Mr. Stuart testified that since 1945 the company had published a regular annual statement which had been sent to all of the company's stockholders, furnished to banks and brokers more or less generally and had been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission since Ralston Purina's preferred stock was listed with that agency. A copy of this report was then submitted in evidence and marked defendant's Exhibit

M. (See Defendant's Exhibit M, page 67.)

Mr. Stuart went on to say that production records were made available to any employees wishing to see them and he stated in this regard, "We send to our sales people, for example and to all our people, but it is primarily directed to sales people, twice a month, all our key people, sir, at least twice a month, sales records, production records are made available to our production people." In response to the question whether the company had at any time during the last 30 years ever sold or attempted to sell any of its common stock to any person other than key employees, Mr. Stuart stated that the company had never sold any stock for the purpose of raising money or to any member of the public. In describing the purpose behind this limited sale of stock Mr. Stuart said, "We have attempted to have the family remain known as the Purina family, and we call each other very largely 'partner,' and that spirit has been created very largely as a result of this

policy of offering stock to a limited group."____

At this point Mr. Sugrue for the plaintiff began the crossexamination of Mr. Stuart. Mr. Stuart/stated that he was familiar with the correspondence which had been had between the Commission's Washington office and Ralston Purina Company during the years 1950 and 1951 concerning the sale of . stock." Mr. Stuart identified plaintiff's exhibits which were offered in evidence. (See, Plaintiff's Exhibit A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H. I. J and K. pages 72-82.) Mr. Stuart stated that about 80% of Ralston Purina common stock is owned or controlled by employees, members of employee's families, or former employees and that out of a total of approximately 7,000 employees of the Ralston Purina Company about 1,000 to 1,500 of such employees are stockholders of the company. Stuart stated, "The common stock ownership in our company goes beyond key employees. There are many little people in our organization who are stock owners." He went on to say that these little people did not acquire their stock directly from the company but rather that they bought it in the open market in one way or another; and that some stock had been given to such employees as a result of an unusual suggestion or some merit such as that. In response to the question as to

whether any employee had ever been turned down when requesting an opportunity to purchase stock, Mr. Stuart stated that many had been turned down and many employees have had their applications to purchase stock materially reduced. In response to Mr. Sugrue's request that he clarify his former testimony with respect to what was meant by the definition of key employees as being employees who are ambitious, sympathetic to management and who advise others, Mr. Stuart stated. "Well, sir, I think that is a matter of influence. I think all of us who have handled people know that there are key people in the various echelons if you have been in military service there were a captain, who perhaps would have felt that one of. the lieutenants was a key lieutenant, but you know if you did not have the support of your key sergeant, or the key corporal, or maybe the key private who exercised influence, that you better not go iffto anything that is very serious; and the same is true in business. Key people are simply not regimented into charts. We don't regiment people in that manner."

Mr. Stuart said that proportionately very few of the key people occupied very subordinate positions in the company. Mr. Sugrue asked, "In any event, these securities are offered to certain persons occupying very low positions in the company's personnel structure?" Mr. Stuart responded, "I don't like that word low. They are important people. We are thinking of them as people. They are not big wheels, if you are referring to the big wheels of an organization, but they are

key people.

Mr. Sugrue asked Mr. Stuart how the figure of 400 to 500 people who were offered stock in September 1951 was arrived at. Mr. Stuart stated, "Well, sir, I got myself an average of 1 to 11/2 applications to purchase stock every week. That has been about the average. I act as helper to employees and purchase that stock in the open market for them. This group that come and ask me to help them * * they are all Most of them are key employees quite obvious, but I dont exclude. I dont send back the letter from someone whom we cannot consider a key employee. We treat them all alike in that respect. The way, Mr. Sugrue, this works out in practice is something like this: That when one of our sales/managers is having a meeting of his group of, say, 30 or 40 men, one · will invariably stand up and say, What is the situation on getting some of that Purina stock? and the sales manager will say. 'Well, I haven't-I don't know now. There isn't any stock available.' And the man will insist—I haven't got one such in mind-'Well, what do you say? How do you get it? We know that officers of the company, all those key people in the

past have done very well in their purchase of stock. We want to be on that list."

Mr. Stuart stated that the figure of 400 to 500 employees used in regard to the offerings in August or September 1951 represented "approximately the number of those individuals who had written to their superior and who had evidenced an interest in the purchase of stock if or when same is available, and that many of these requests were made by word of mouth.". Mr. Stuart went on to point out that such a figure was only an estimate. "It was not certainly exactly 500, but it was not a thousand, but let us say, or any number substantially above that."

In response to the question of whether or not the directors' resolution of September 11, 1951, restricted the stock offering to any particular type of employee, Mr. Stuart said. "I think I know what you are getting at, and I think I can answer it. me see those. I haven't that resolution. The past couple of years we have been very strict in trying to make this stock available to our junior executives, men under 50, so as to give our younger men the chance that our older men have had in the past." Mr. Stuart then went on to read the resolution as follows: "Resolved that Mr. Donald Danforth, Mr. Lewis B. Stuart or Mr. E. R. Siler be and each of them is hereby authorized to sell at \$80 a share, not to exceed 10,000 shares of the authorized but unissued common stock of Ralston Purina Company to employees of Ralston Purina Company of Canada, Ltd., who shall, without any solicitation by the company or its officers or employees, inquire of any of them as to how to purchase common stock of Ralston Purina Company; provided, however, that each such officer is able to purchase stock for less on the open market he is authorized to purchase stock for such employee on the open market at such lesser price; provided further that this authorization shall terminate September 31. 1951."

Mr. Sugrue then asked Mr. Stuart whether or not these gentlemen, the corporate officers, are restricted in the type of people to whom they were authorized to sell stock. Mr. Stuart said that tradition limited them. "Past experience, past what we have done—are all in the picture here. They would govern my action in that just as definitely—it would be assumed by our directors that I would be just as negligent if I failed to follow tradition and custom in that as if it was spelled out." Mr. Stuart also stated that the annual statement to stockholders was mailed out by Ralston Purina to the stockholders in December of each year and that the company's audit is usually completed sometime during November, usually during

the latter part of November. And that the stock offering is traditionally made to certain employees at or about the time they receive the bonus from the company. At this point Mr. Sugrue showed Mr. Stuart the December 15, 1951, issue of the Wall Street Journal and asked him concerning the accuracy of certain statements concerning the figures for the fiscal year 1951 of the Ralston Purina Company. This article stated that during the year 1951 Ralston Purina's net sales increased 35% over the net sales for 1950 and that during the same period. the company's profits decreased 30% after all taxes. Mr. Stuart stated, "There is an adjustment in here that I don't believe appears in this analysis, because when we closed our books at the end of 1950 we were not aware of excess profits, that tax, that would apply to that year. If you will read both statements carefully, you can see that this article, I think, does not reflect the adjustment that was made. Our profits for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1951, before taxes was substantially above the preceding year, but after taxes. they are below the preceding year [about] 25%." Mr. Sugrue then asked whether this information concerning the profits of the company was available in September 1951 when the stock offering was made to the key employees. Mr. Stuart answered that such figures were not made available until December 1951.

Mr. Stuart went on to say that production figures of the company consisted of tonnage which was the company's principal unit of measure. In this connection he said, "Now, there are many figures that go into profits, of course; markets, and many other figures. But I believe that you may agree that tonnage, this is volume production, is perhaps the biggest single figure in the company's success. We deal, in discussing with our executives, our board of directors, and our employers, we deal very largely in tonnage figures not exclusively, of course." Mr. Stuart stated that in connection with the sales figures which were made available twice a month to key people that such figures went to all people in the sales department. go to all our officers, about 3 or 4, or half a dozen, depending on how many in the office, because the offices are different sizes, where they are available in some cases through the board, in most cases a number of people's names are put on the article and it is passed around the office."

Mr. Stuart testified that these sales figures went substantially to every department and office in the country. At this point Mr. Sugrue offered in evidence as plaintiff's Exhibit L the said news item from the Wall Street Journal. Mr. Mc-Pheeters stated that he doubted whether the figures in the clipping from the Wall Street Journal were correct and that he

would not stipulate regarding the figures. (See Plaintiff's Ex-

hibit L, page 83.)

in the man."

At this point, Mr. McPheeters for the defendant began the redirect examination of Mr. Stuart. In an attempt to clarify the 1951 resolution of the Ralston Purina Company which spoke about an offering of 10,000 shares and in which there was nothing to limit the offering to key employees, Mr. Stuart stated, "We were limited as officers in every respect that we had been limited in the last several years, with one exception: In the last few years, we had made a special effort not to sell any stock to people over 50 years of age. In all of our discussions, that is the only item that was mentioned or excepted. We indicated a willingness this year-because instead of the junior employee anchor, we found several of our employees' relations got this stock-to protect the employees against the market rise as we did this year. We felt, however, that since pressure of the market was the prime factor this year, that we would not object to the older people who might be in the market. We felt this." Mr. Stuart also stated that the same procedure was followed in 1951 as had been followed in the past in connection with the offering of this stock.

Mr. McPheeters stated that he thought Mr. Sugrue was trying to create the impression that because a man was in the maintenance department, he could not be called a key employee. The court disagreed with Mr. McPheeters and stated, "I got the impression that key employee is a very elastic termas far as efficiency is concerned. A key employee might be a key employee in one branch, while frequently they might have a man doing that same work in another branch [who] loafs on the job and he is not a key employee." In response to this statement, Mr. McPheeters stated that he was attempting to make the point that a payroll classification is not an employee, and what/is in a payroll classification at one point, the man who holds the same payroll classification in another is not the same man, and we are making the difference

Mr. McPheeters asked Mr. Stuart why Ralston Purina failed to register stock that was offered to the employees in 1951. Mr. Stuart stated, "The reasons are very definite. Personally, I have been through a registration just once, and when we started to register our preferred stock, we started in January. It took until May 15th before we could get the schedules. It cost us tens of thousands of dollars. Now, when you are putting out an issue, or when you are selling to a group, to a small intimate group, if the sale is between three or four or ten thosuand shates and you have to spend for a hundred

special accountants' fees, lawyers' fees, printing expenses, travel expenses, clerical expenses—there is a host of expenses in connection with the registration which makes it entirely unwarranted to spend that much money to accommodate key employees. The big factor is a very important factor. We come to the end of the year, we cannot wait 31/2 months to know what we are going to do; we have to deal with our employees, pay our bonuses, and make our deals then. If we have to wait for 31/2 months, or in we have to wait for 21/2 months, which probably would be a pretty fair length of time, and then pay financial extras, legal people, accounting people, printing, long distance telephone and telephone calls, clerical expenses, travel, and pile all that expense on the sale of a few shares of stock to an intimate group, we feel that that is entirely unwarranted, and it is a matter of economy on our part that we have come in here to attempt to win our case, Your Honor.

"I might add another thing or two, as long as you went into that type of formal matter. With your family, your business family, it is a lot like your own family, one of the members comes to your house for dinner, you call on him, you want to agree on something. It distorts or tends to distort the intimate relations that we attempt to create in our company between the owners of our company and the people who run our

company.

"Again, when we emphasize the fact that we don't try to cater to our big wheel. We try to cater to the backbone of our company, the key people that run the company. The registration—in this registration, I believe you might let us style maybe the top 12 people in our company, or the top two dozen people in our company, the so-called 'big wheels' of our company. That is not, in our epinion, a democratic way to run a company, and it is not a way to engender the spirit which we have engendered in our company and which we earnestly pray we can continue to engender.

"At this point Mr. Sugrue for the plaintiff began the reexamination of Mr. Stuart. Mr. Sugrue asked if these were any profit figures available to the employees I the company other than the figures which result from the annual audit which commences around the end of September. Mr. Stuart stated that the company filed a quarterly report with the Commission which consisted of gross sales figures. And that was the only final report which Ralston Purina put out. Mr. Sugrue asked whether any net profit figures were available to the em-

ployees. Mr. Stuart stated that the report filed with the Commission was the only report which Ralston Purina put out, and that it was an annual report. Mr. Sugrue asked whether

any profit figures audited or unaudited were available to anybody in the company from the end of one fiscal year to the end of the next fiscal year. Mr. Stuart stated that depending on the individual all figures are available to some, and to a lesser degree to others. He also stated that he was vice president of the company and that figures were available to him showing the profits of Ralston Purina for a given month. He stated that these figures were available to many others but that they were not available to all of the so-called key employees."

Which was all the evidence offered at the hearing.

DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT L

(Copy of information memorandum released to certain key store managers)

St. Louis, Missouri, September 21, 1951.

If you would like to buy some Purina Common Stock at \$80 a share, which is about the current market, let me know by October 1 how many shares you want.

The Company has issued the following statement which should be thoroughly understood by anyone interested in ac-

quiring stock at this time.

"The Company is unwilling to take the responsibility, in es-

sence, of guaranteeing or forecasting that the price of Purina stock is going up or will remain at its present price for the next twelve months or so. Consequently the Company is making no recommendation that employees purchase at current prices.

"The Company, however, is willing to try to protect employees against a market rise in the price of the stock resulting from temporary competitive bidding by employees. To do this the Company will make available for a limited time some authorized but unissued stock at \$80.00'a share. The only employees to whom this stock will be available will be those who take the initiative and are interested in buying stock at present market prices.

"If stock can be purchased on the open market at a price lower than \$80 a share, the employee will, of course, buy at the lower price."

H. A. STEIN.

sl.

P. S. In Fletch's absence from the office, I am passing this information on to you because I thought you might be interested.

DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT M

Donald Danforth, President

B

RALSTON PURINA COMPANY, St. Louis 2, Mo., December 14, 1951.

TO OUR STOCKHOLDERS:

Our financial record for the past year can be summarized very briefly. It would take volumes to tell adequately of the loyalty, initiative and ability of the men and women who made this record possible.

Our dollar sales increased \$89,436,935 or 35 percent. Our operating profit before taxes increased \$4,263,283 or 21 percent. Our Federal taxes increased \$6,635,146 or 76 percent. Our net

profit after taxes decreased \$2,371,863 or 20 percent.

We have the satisfaction of knowing that we are making an important contribution to the better feeding of our Nation. Our contribution taxwise is self-evident.

Sincerely yours,

Donald Danforth, President, Ralston Purina Company.

DD-vit.

ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT

To the Board of Directors, Ralston Purina Company, St. Louis, Missouri.

We have examined the balance sheet of Ralston Purina Company and its domestic subsidiaries consolidated as of September 30, 1951, and the related statement of profit and loss and earnings retained for use in the business for the year then ended. Our examination was made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, and accordingly included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

In our opinion, the accompanying balance theet and statement of profit and loss and earnings retained for use in the business present fairly the financial position of Ralston Purina Company and its domedic subsidiaries consolidated at September 30, 1951, and the results of their operations for the year then ended, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a basis consistent with that of the preceding year.

PEAT, MARWICK, MITCHELL & Co. St. Louis, Missouri, December 5, 1951.

Statement of profit and loss and earnings retained for use in the business for the year ended September 30, 1951

RALSTON PURINA COMPANY AND DOMESTIC SUBSIDIARIES CO	NSOLIDATED
Income from sale of goods and other sources: Net sales	\$342, 802, 522
Miscellaneous merchandising and service departments	
income (net)	1, 579, 790
Interest received	57, 301
Miscellaneous income	414, 581
Proceeds from life insurance, less surrender value	
Proceeds from the insurance, less surrender value	252, 414
	345, 106, 608
Costs agreement and other charges	*
Costs, expenses, and other charges:	
Cost of materials and operating expenses other than	000 MOR 110
Cost of materials and operating expenses other than shown separately below. Administrative, research, distribution, and general	289, 725, 112
Administrative, research, distribution, and general	,
expense	29, 159, 018
Contribution under pension plans and pensions paid	987,015
Contribution under pension plans and pensions paid Interest paid	325, 782
Interest and amortization of expense on debentures.	96.076
Miscellaneous charges	158, 911
Federal excess profits tax-prior year	500, 453
Provision for Federal taxes on income, including \$2,845,-	. 4
000 for excess profits tax:	15, 369, 000
	336, 322, 267
	-000, 022, 70,
Net Profit	8, 784, 341
Net Profit Earnings retained for use in the business at Sept. 30, 1950	40, 214, 469
and the state of t	30, 213, 300
Total	48, 998, 810
Amount transferred to common capital stock account	
based on the issuance of one share of common stock	
for each two shares held\$10, 245, 100	
Cash payments to certain fractional share	
holders1, 428	10, 246, 528
Total	38, 752, 282
Dodnot dividende declared:	
On 33/6 cumulative preferred stock—\$3.75	
per share. 374 089	
On common stock—\$2 per share 2 450 186	0 694 149
On 3%% cumulative preferred stock—\$3.75 per, share	4, 604, 110
Earnings retained for use in the business at Sept. 30, 1951 See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements.	35, 918, 134

RALSTON PURINA COMPANY AND DOMESTIC SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED

Balance sheet as of Sept. 30, 1951

ASSETS	. 7:
Current assets:	
Cash in banks and on hand	\$10, 297, 569
United States Government Securities:	
At cost (quoted market prices \$13,986,-	
808) \$13, 962, 062	
At redemption prices and accrued inter-	- ' *
est, of which \$800,000 pledged (note	
1) 1, 403, 941	1= 900 009
	15, 366, 003
Accounts receivable, less provision for doubtful accounts	26, 936, 336 959, 259
Inventories (note 2):	
Inventories (note 2): Finished products \$5, 127, 395	•
Grain, raw materials, bags, containers, etc. 22, 421, 147	
Miscellan ous merchandise, likestock, etc. 3, 186, 152	.*
and the state of t	30, 734, 694
Propoid expanses insurance taxes etc.	270, 693
Trepard expenses matrialet, that, etc.	2
Total Current Assets	84, 564, 554
Investment in and advances to Canadian Sub-	
sidiary not consolidated (note 3)	634, 597
Other investments and advances, including cash	
commonder rates of life incurance \$407.594	505, 366
Plant and equipment (substantially at cost):	
Land \$1,000,011	
Buildings and equipment, less depreciation,	
\$18,196,720 33, 184, 843	34, 523, 817
Formulas, copyrights, trade marks, patents, goodwill, etc	- 1
Deferred charges: Mill and office supplies, etc	
Unamortized detenture expense 48,969	
Unamortized decenture expense 48,969	962, 267
	002, 201
Total	121, 190, 602
O	121, 100,002
See accompanying notes to financial statements.	
Det actompan, in meter to mande an entrement	
LIABILITIES	
Current liabilities:	47 040 074
Accounts payable	\$7, 249, 371
Amounts payable for taxes withheld	595, 010
Accrued taxes, compensation, interest, and miscellaneous	1, 916, 263
Dividends payable	93, 859
Provision for Federal and State taxes on income and ex-	17, 811, 670
cess profits tax	11, 611, 610
Watel compant Habitutles	27, 666, 173
• Total current liabilities 3¼% sinking fund Debentures due 1971 (note 4)	15, 000, 000
Reserves for self-insurance	
Reserves for self-insurance	351 200
Stockholdows' aguity:	351, 399
Stockholders' equity:	351, 399
Stockholders' equity: Cumulative preferred stock of \$100 par value	351, 399
Stockholders' equity: Cumulative preferred stock of \$100 par value per share. Authorized 250,000 shares issu-	351, 399
Stockholders' equity: Cumulative preferred stock of \$100 par value per share. Authorized 250,000 shares issu- able in one or more series. Issued and	351, 399
Stockholders' equity: Cumulative preferred stock of \$100 par value per share. Authorized 250,000 shares issu-	351, 399

Common stock of \$25 par value per share. Authorized 1,600,000 shares. Issued and outstanding—1,229,712 shares	30, 742, 800	
Premium received on capital stock in excess of par value (including \$7,657 during year)	1, 512, 096	
Earnings retained for use in the business (note 5)	42, 254, 896 35, 918, 134	
Total stockholders' equity		\$78, 173, 030
Total		121, 190, 602

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) At September 30, 1951, the parent company had pledged \$800,000 par value United States Treasury Savings Notes, Series "D," as collateral security on a loan negotiated by its Canadian subsidiary.

(2) The inventories at September 30, 1951, are based on physical inventories taken as of that date or on perpetual inventory records adjusted to quantities shown by physical inven-

tories taken from time to time during the year.

Finished products are valued at market prices of ingredients plus manufacturing cost, with the exception of certain items amounting to \$434,164 which are stated at approximate market. Grain, raw materials, bags, containers, etc., are stated at the lower of average cost or replacement market. Miscellaneous merchandise, livestock, etc., (except \$1,666,673 valued

at appreximate market) are priced at average cost.

(3) The parent company owns alk of the outstanding capital stock of the Canadian subsidiary, Ralston-Purina Company of Canada, Limited; the investment therein, \$100,000, is stated at cost. The company's equity in the net assets of this subsidiary, as shown by its balance sheet as of September 30, 1951, was \$2,216,907 expressed in Canadian currency. The net profit of this subsidiary for the year ended September 30, 1951

was \$537,135 expressed in Canadian currency.

(4) The 3½% sinking fund debentures due June 1, 1971, are subject to redemption prior to maturity at principal amount and accrued interest, plus a premium of 3% if redeemed on or before June 1, 1952. This premium reduces periodically until after June 1, 1969, when no premium is required. There is an optional redemption provision whereby debentures may be called at lower redemption rates on any June 1, if the parent company has net earnings after June 30, 1951 (less dividends declared) equal to the amount required for the proposed re-

demption. Beginning in 1958, the parent company is required to make the following annual sinking fund deposits: 1958–1960, \$500,000; 1961, \$600,000; 1962, \$700,000; 1963, \$800,000; 1964, \$900,000; 1965–1970, \$1,000,000. Debentures acquired other than through the operation of the sinking fund may be applied as a credit against sinking fund payments (if certain

provisions are met) at principal amount thereof.

(5) The earnings retained for use in the business represent the amount remaining after transfers in the current and prior years to common stock account. The indenture securing the 3½% debentures provides that cash dividends on common stock or acquisitions of capital stock may be paid only from net earnings of the company after October 1, 1950. Of the earnings retained for use in the business at September 30, 1951, \$29,839,973 are restricted under the foregoing provisions. The Articles of Association also contain certain restrictions as to dividend payments on, or acquisition of, common stock.

(6) At September 30, 1951 the parent company had outstanding commitments of approximately \$1,750,000 with re-

speet to uncompleted construction.

(7) Provision for depreciation of plant and equipment for the year amounted to \$2,150,843.

RAISTON PURINA COMPANY

DIRECTORS

Wm. H. Danforth, Chairman of the Board of Directors. Donald Danforth, George W. Simpkins. Raymond E. Rowland, Lewis B. Stuart.

PRINCIPAL OFFICERS

Donald Danforth, President.

Roland M. Bethke, Vice President (Research).

Eldred A. Cayce, Vice President (Purchasing).

David L. Grant, Vice President (Chow Production).

F. Wendell Hundington, Vice President (Personnel).

Gordon M. Philpott, Vice President (Advertising).

Raymond E. Rowland, Vice President (Products and Manufacturing).

Louis C. Stevenson, Vice President, (Sales).

Lewis B. Stuart, Vice President (Finances), and Secretary,

John D. Sykes, Vice President (Public Relations).

E. Ray Siler, Treasurer.

P. L. Jacoby, Controller.

L. B. Murdock, Assistant Secretary.

GENERAL OFFICES, Checkerboard Square, St. Louis 2, Missouri.

Major milling properties: Amarillo, Tex., Battle Creek, Mich., Bloomington, Ill., Brawley, Calif., Buffalo, N. Y., Charlotte, N. C., Circleville, Ohio, Davenport, Iowa, Delmar, Del., Denver, Colo., Fort Worth, Tex., Iowa Falls, Iowa, Jackson Miss., Kansas City, Mo., La Fayette, Ind., Los Angeles, Calif., Lubbock, Tex., Macon, Ga., Miami, Fla., Minneapolis, Minn., Muskogee, Okla., Nashville, Tenn., Oakland, Calif., Oklahoma City, Okla., Omaha, Nebr., Pocatello, Idaho, Richmond, Ind., St. Johnsbury, Vt., St. Louis, Mo., Stockton, Calif., Tampa, Fla., Visalia, Calif., Wichita, Kans., Wilmington, Del.

Subsidiary companies: Ralston-Purina Company of Canada, Limited; Woodstock and Smithville, Ont., Montreal, Que.; Taylor Milling Company, Stockton, Calif.; West Coast Wharf

& Storage Company, Oakland, Calif.

Transfer Agent (Preferred Stock), Chemical Bank & Trust

Company, 165 Broadway, New York 15, N. Y.

Registrar (Preferred Stock), City Bank Farmers Trust Com-

pany, 22 William Street, New York 15, N. Y.

Common Stock certificates are transferable only at the general offices of Ralston Purina Company.

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT A

Jun 23, 1950.

Mr. E. R. SILER,

Treasurer, Ralston Purina Company 835 South Eighth Street, St. Louis 2, Missouri.

Re: File No. 1-3255

DEAR SIR: The annual report of your company on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1949 has been examined. We have the following comments in connection therewith:

It is noted from the response to Item 12 of the report for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1948, that the registrant sold 6,984 unissued common shares and 1,120 treasury common shares during the period under report for an aggregate of \$387,740. It is represented that these securities were sold privately to employees of the company and that there was no public offering. Since it does not appear that the securities were registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and insufficient information is given for us to determine whether an exemption from registration was available, you are requested to inform.

this Division by letter of the number of persons to whom the securities were offered and the number of persons to whom securities were sold. In addition, the letter should state whether the registrant obtained assurance that the employees purchased the securities for investment and not with yiew to their distribution.

It is noted from Note (11) of the notes to financial statements in the reports for the fiscal years ended September 30, 1948 and 1949, that the registrant has in effect a fund for encouraging the development of initiative of key employees, including officers. It appears that the operation of this fund constitutes a bonus or profit-sharing plan and that the putting of the plan into effect was an event which should have been reported in a current report on Form 8-K within ten days after the close of the month in which the event occurred. Under the circumstances it is suggested that the registrant file at its early convenience a current report on Form 8-K, in which the information and exhibit required by Items 10 and 15 of the form are furnished.

The following comments are for your guidance in preparing

future reports:

Adjustments of prior years' operations should be reflected in the income statement rather than in surplus. Reference is made to Accounting Research Bulletin No. 32 issued by the American Institute of Accountants, particularly paragraph 11 thereof.

It is suggested that the amounts shown in Note (4) be expressed in terms of converted American dollars.

Very truly yours,

Byron D. Wcodside,
Assistant Director
Division of Corporation Finance.

cc: New York Stock Exchange. RCHocker. (Flaherty).

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT B

Office of the Vice President

RALSTON PURINA COMPANY, St. Louis 2, Mo., July 12, 1950.

Mr. Byron D. Woodside, Assistant Director,
Division of Corporate Finance,
Securities and Exchange Comphission,
Washington, D. C.

Re: Ralston Purina Company Your File No. 1-3255

DEAR SIR: Receipt is acknowledged of your letter of June 23, 1950.

With reference to the shares of common stock sold by the company in its fiscal year 1948, all of said shares were sold solely to employees of the Company who were in various key positions with the Company. The purpose of the sales was not to raise additional funds for the Company but was to enable such employees to become stockholders of the Company in view of the exceedingly limited supply of common stock of the Company purchasable on the open market. It was thoroughly understood by all such employees that they were purchasing said shares of stock for investment and not with a view to their distribution. It has been the policy of the Company since its institution to encourage employee stock ownership by key employees. Over many years only a negligible amount of such employee stock has been sold by the employees to outsiders unless such employees either die or leave the employ of the Company.

With reference to the bonus fund referred to in Note 11 to the financial statements for 1948 and 1949, this fund has been in effect for many years and long prior to the listing of the preferred stock on the New York Stock Exchange. It is the Company's position that said fund, commonly known as the "President's Development Fund," does not constitute a profit sharing plan within the meaning of Form 8-K. There is no written plan or agreement or statement of policy in connection with this fund. It merely operates by the setting aside of various amounts each year, and the drawing of checks to deserving officers and employees out of said fund, the size of the fund and the amounts distributed being determined in the sole discretion

of the President of the Company, except that the amount, if any, to be paid to the President of the Company is determined by the Chairman of the Board of Directors. It is, therefore, most respectfully submitted that it would be wholly impossible to file the information requested in Form 8-K.

Your comments as to accounting matters have been called to the attention of our accountants, Messrs. Peat, Marwick, Mitchell & Company for their information in preparing ac-

counting statements for subsequent years.

Yours very truly,

RALSTON PURINA COMPANY, Lewis B. Stuart, Lewis B. Stuart, Vice President.

sn. cc: New York Stock Exchange.

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT C

JULY 27, 1950.

Mr. Lewis B. Stuart,

Vice President, Ralston Purina Company, Checkerboard Square, St. Louis 2, Missouri.

Re: File No. 1-3255

Dear Sir: This is with reference to your letter of July 12, 1950, in response to our letter of June 23 with respect to the annual reports or form 10-K filed by your company. You tate that it was thoroughly understood by all employees who burchased stock from the company during its fiscal year ended september 30, 1948, that such shares were purchased for investment and not with a view to their distribution. You also state that the operation of the "President's Development Fund" does not constitute a profit-sharing plan within the meaning of Form 8-K since there is no written plan or statement of policy in connection therewith and the size of the fund and the amounts distributed therefrom are determined in the sole discretion of the president, except that the amount, if any, to be paid to the president is determined by the chairman of the board of directors.

As requested in our letter of June 23, it would be appreciated f the registrant would inform this Division by letter of the number of persons to whom its shares sold in the 1948 fiscal year were offered and the number of persons who purchased

uch shares.

In view of the facts stated in your letter, we will not raise any further question at this time regarding the filing of a current report with respect to the "President's Development Fund".

Very truly yours,

R. H. BAGLEY,
for BYRON D. WOODSIDE,

Assistant Director,
Division of Corporation Finance.

RCHocker:cms. (J. D. Flaherty).

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT D

Office of the Vice President

RALSTON PURINA COMPANY, St. Louis 2, Mo., August 3, 1950.

Mr. Byron D. Woodside,

Asst. Director, Division of Corporate Finance, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D. C.

Re: Ralston Purina Company, Your File No. 1-3255

Dear Mr. Woodside: Replying to the request in your letter of July 27, 1950, we wish to advise that 8,104 shares of our Common stock were sold to 247 of our employees in our 1948 fiscal year. To the best of our knowledge, this stock was purchased by all of the employees to whom it was offered.

Very truly yours,

Lewis Stuart, Lewis B. Stuart, Vice President.

LBS: HLN.

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT E

August 15, 1951.

Mr. S. R. SILER,

Treasurer, Ralston Purina Company, 835 South Eighth Street, St. Louis 2, Missouri.

Re: File No. 1-3255

Dear Sir: We have the following comment in connection with the annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1950, filed by your company.

From comparison of the balance sheets in the 1949 and 1950 reports it appears that the registrant sold 19.659 shares of common stock during the fiscal year ended September, 1950.

It is requested that you advise this Division by letter why these shares were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933. If an exemption from registration is claimed the facts necessary to establish the availability of the exemption should be set forth in the letter.

Very truly yours,

ERNEST U. RAMSPECK,
for Harvey M. Thorston,
Assistant Director,
Diffision of Corporation Finance.

cc: New York Stock Exchange. RCHocker: mms. (J. D. Flaherty).

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT F

August 18, 1950.

Mr. Lewis B. Stuart,
Vice President, Ralston Purina Company,
Checkerboard Square, St. Louis 2, Missouri.

Re: File No. 1-3255

Dear Sir: This will acknowledge receipt of your letter of August 3, 1950, stating that 8,104 shares of your common stock were sold to 247 employees during your 1948 fiscal year. Based on the statements made in your July 12, 1950, letter to the effect that it was the understanding of all employees who took the stock that their purchase was for investment and not resale it would appear that you were relying upon the provisions of the second clause of Section 4 (1) of the Securities Act of 1933 for an exemption from registration.

In our opinion your reliance upon this section was not well

founded.

I am enclosing a copy of the Securities Act Release No. 285 which may be of some assistance to you with regard to the meaning and limitations of the "private offering" exemption.

The advisability of communicating with us prior to making

any future offering of securities is suggested.

Very truly yours,

Byron D. Woodside,
Assistant Director,
Division of Corporation Finance.

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT G

Office of the Treasurer

RALSTON PURINA COMPANY, St. Louis 2, Mo., September 7, 1951.

Mr. HARVEY A. THORSON,

Asst. Director, Division of Corporation Finance, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D. C.

Re: Ralston Puring Company, Your File No. 1-3255

DEAR MR. THORSON: Replying to your letter of August 15, 1951, we sold 10,000 shares of our common stock to 415 of our key officers and employees on October 3, 1949, and 9,659 shares of our common stock to 420 such key officers and employees

on September 22, 1950.

In all cases said sales were made exclusively to key officers and employees and no offering in connection therewith was made to the general public or even to the general "run of the mill" employees. The offerings were in accordance with the. company's long standing desire to encourage stock ownership by its key employees and, incidentally, to avoid any artificial "run up" of the market for stock of Ralston Purina Company arising out of the fact that during or shortly prior to the offering date the company had paid to those and other employees substantial cash bonuses, principally to the key employees The company's officers were apprehensive that. here involved. if it did not make stock available for purchase by its employees they would bid against each other in the open market, creating a temporary and artificial activity therein, running up the market price by such competitive bids, which in turnswould, after the period had passed, result in a declining market price. The company, of course, was not primarily concerned with the market price of its stock but it was concerned that its key employees do not by their action harm each other and create an artificial market situation.

The company has been advised by its counsel that any such special offering, directly and without any intervention of brokers, to key employees is not a public offering, and that such shares need not be registered with the Securities and Exchange

Commission.

Very truly yours,

(S) E. R. Siler, E. R. Siler,

Treasurer

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT H

AIR MAIL

SEPTEMBER 14, 1951.

Mr. E. R. SILER.

Treasurer, Ralston Purina Company, Checkerboard Square, St. Louis 2, Missouri.

Re: File No. 1-3255

Dear Mr. Siler: This will acknowledge your letter of September 7, 1951, relating to the sale of 19,659 shares of Ralston Purina common stock. You state that Ralston Purina Company sold 10,000 such shares to 415 of its key officers and employees on October 3, 1949 and 9,659 such shares to 420 key officers and employees on September 22, 1950. You add that your company has been advised by its counsel that the offering, which was made directly and without any intervention of brokers, to its key employees was not a public offering and that such shares need not be registered with this Commission. While the basis upon which you claim an exemption from registration is not made entirely clear by your letter it would appear that reliance was placed on the provisions of the second clause of Section 4 (1) of the Securities Act of 1933.

Reference is made to the correspondence in July and August 1950 between this office and your company regarding sales of your common stock in 1948 to 247 employees. Specifically you were advised in our letter of August 18, 1950, that it was our opinion that reliance upon Section 4 (1) of the Securities Act of 1933 for an exemption from registration with regard to your 1948 transactions with employees was not well founded. In this connection, we suggested the advisability of communicating with us prior to making any future offering of your securities. The limitations of the exemption under consideration were called to your attention in Securities Act Release No. 285 which was enclosed with our August 18, 1950 letter. Nevertheless the following month, viz., on September 22, 1950, your company sold 9,659 shares to 420 officers and employees.

Since it appears your company has followed the practice of making unlawful public offerings of its securities every year since 1948, and in view of the possibility, particularly in 1950, that the violations were willful you are requested to advise us promptly whether you intend again to make another such offering without registration this year.

Very truly yours,

(S) H. A. Thorson;
Harvey A. Thorson,
Assistant Director,
Division of Corporation Finance.

SBinder-ae. Flaherty.

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT I

Office of the Vice President

RALSTON PURINA COMPANY, St. Louis 2, Mo., September 19, 1951.

Mr. HARVEY A. THORSON,

Assistant Director, Division of Corporation Finance, Securities Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D. C.

Re: Ralston Purina Company, Your File No. 1-3255

Dear Mr. Thorson: We acknowledge receipt of your letter of September 14, 1951. We have referred this matter to our counsel who have advised us that in their opinion all our prior actions mentioned by you in said letter were legal and proper under the Securities Act of 1933 as amended in that we did not make a public offering of our securities but on the contrary limited the offering to key employees.

With reference to the last paragraph of your letter, the Corporation does not intend to make any offering of its securities to its employees this year nor to solicit any offers from them to buy its securities. However, the Board of Directors did at their meeting on September 11, 1951, adopt the following

resolution:

"Resolved, that Mr. Donald Danforth, Mr. Lewis B. Stuart or Mr. E. R. Siler be and each of them is hereby authorized to sell at \$80 a share, not to exceed 10,000 shares of the authorized but unissued common stock of Ralston Purina Company to employees of Ralston Purina Company or Ralston-Purina Company of Canada, Ltd., who shall, without any solicitation by the Company or its officers or employees, inquire of any of them as to how to purchase common stock of Ralston Purina Company; provided, however, that if such officer is able to purchase such stock for less on the open market he is authorized to purchase stock for such employee on the open market at

such lesser price; provided further that this authorization shall

terminate December 31, 1951."

Pursuant to such resolution, it is the intention of the officers to make sales only to key employees who are purchasing for investment and not for resale. Although the time limit fixed in the resolution is December 31, 1951, it is anticipated that all sales thereunder will be made before October 31, 1951, since experience has shown that the demand for stock immediately follows the cash bonus customarily paid toward the close of our fiscal year ending September 30.

Very truly yours,

(S) Lewis B. Stuart,
LEWIS B. STUART, Vice President,
RALSTON PURINA COMPANY.

LBS:HLN.

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT J

AIR MAIL

SEPTEMBER 25, 1951.

Mr. LEWIS B. STUART,

Vice President, Ralston Purma Company, Checkerboard Square, St. Louis 2, Missouri.

Re: File No. 1-3255

Dear Sir: This will refer to your letter of September 19, 1951, regarding the sale of common stock of your company to employees. You state that the Board of Directors of your company adopted a resolution on September 11, 1951, authorizing Mr. Donald Danforth, Mr. Lewis B. Stuart or Mr. E. R. Siler, among other things, to sell at \$80 per share not to exceed 10,000 shares of the authorized but unissued common stock of Ralston Purina Company to employees of such company or Ralston Purina Company of Canada, Ltd. It is noted you anticipate that all sales under such resolution will be made before October 31, 1951.

Please advise as immediately by return air mail or telegram the first date on which purchases by employees were or will be possible, the number of eligible employees, and the criteria employed to determine whether a person is a "key" employee.

We would also appreciate your advising us the price per share which your company received for the common stock it sold in 1949 and for the stock it sold in 1950.

· Very truly yours.

· HARVEY A. THORSON. Assistant Director, Division of Corporation Finance.

ABinder: mms. (J. D. Flaherty).

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT K

[WESTERN UNION]

HARVEY A. THORSON.

Assistant Director: Division of Corporation. Finance Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D. C.

Re: Your file 1-3255. · Purchases of stock made available by our directors on September 11 have been possible since September 14. The number of eligible key employees is approximately five hundred. Criterion employed to determine if a person is a key employee is position held, namely, an officer, department head, assistant to a department head or other employee-the company considers eligible for promotion to a position of greater responsibility as an administrative, production, sales, or research department send or an assistant to a department head. Price received by our company on sale of stock to employees in 1949 was \$55 a share, in 1950 \$70 a share. A fifty percent stock dividend was subsequently distributed on December 4, 1950.

RALSTON PURINA GO. LEWIS B. STUART.

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT L

RALSTON PURINA PROFIT FOR FISCAL 1951 DOWN DESPITE 35% SALÉS RISE

From the Wall Street Journal, St. Louis Bureau

St. Louis.—Despite a 35% jump in sales, net income of Ralston Purina Co. for the fiscal year ended September 30 fell to \$8.784.341, from the \$12.560,665 reported for the preceding year, according to Donald Danforth, president.

Earnings a share for fiscal 1951 were \$6.84 compared with the preceding year's \$14.87, based on the smaller number of

common shares then outstanding.

Sales for the year ended September 30 amounted to \$342,802,522, up from \$253,365,587 in fiscal 1950.

Federal income taxes for the recent fiscal year totaled \$15.369,000, including \$2.845,000 in excess profits taxes. compares with federal income taxes of \$8,233,400 for fiscal 1950. The company also included in its expenses for fiscal 1951 a special charge of \$500,453 for federal excess profits taxes due on the preceding year's income.

Ralston Purina Co. and domestic subsidiaries consolidated

report for the fiscal year ended September 30:

	1951	1950
† Eurned a share	\$6, 84	/ \$14.87
Net sales	342, 802, 522	
Total income	345, 106, 608	225, 590, 762
1 Special tax charge		
*Federal income taxes	15, 369, 000	8, 233, 400
Net profit	8, 784, 341	12, 560, 665
Preferred dividends	374, 982	375, 005
Common dividends.	2, 459, 166	3, 239, 995
Number common shares outstanding	1, 229, 712	819, 659
Number preferred shares outstanding	100,000	100,000
Transfer Property and Control of the		

[†]After preferred dividend requirements. ‡Federal excess profits taxes for the 1950 fiscal year but charged to expenses for 51 fiscal year.

Includes \$2.845.000 for excess profits tax.

[CAPTION].

Notice of Appeal to United States Court of Appeals

Notice is hereby given that the Securities and Exchange Commission, plaintiff herein, hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit from the final judgment entered in this action on February 14, 1952.

Dated February 20, 1952.

Thomas B. Hart. (S) THOMAS B. HART.

Alexander J. Brown, Jr. ALEXANDER J. BROWN, Jr.

Robert J. Sugrue. ROBERT J. SUGRUE.

Louis Loss. Louis Loss.

Associate General Counsel, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D. C.

[CAPTION]

Statement of points relied on.

Comes now the Securities and Exchange Commission, Peti-. tioner in the above entitled matter, and files the following statement of points upon which it relies for the allowance and in the prosecution of an appeal from the order and judgment entered by the United States District Court for the Eastern Division of the Eastern Judicial District of Missouri on February 14, 1952, in the case therein pending entitled, "Securities and Exchange Commission v. Ralston Purina Company, No. 8212 (2)" dismissing the cause of action and dissolving the preliminary injunction:

1. The said District Court erred in finding that the offering of securities to employees of the Ralston Purina Company was not a public offering under Section 4 (1) of the Securities Act

of 1933.

2. The said District Court erred in concluding that the defendant below was not violating Section 5 (a) of the Securities Act of 1933 and in dissolving the injunction against such violation.

Wherefore, Petitioner prays that said order entered on February 14, 1952, be set aside and reversed and that such other and further relief may be given Petitioner as to this Honorable. Court may seem just and proper.

(S) Louis Loss, Louis Loss.

Associate General Counsel, Securities and Exchange Commission, 425 Second Street, N. W., Washington 25, D. C.

May 9, 1952,

Listent relevant docket entries

. 1951

Oct. 24—Plaintiff's complaint filed. Preliminary injunction against defendant filed and entered. Plaintiff's statement in support of motion for preliminary injunction, together with supporting affidavit of Robert J. Sugrue, Esq., filed.

Nov. 21—Answer of defendant to plaintiff's complaint, filed. Dec. 19—Order on pretrial conference of November 27, 1951,

together with pretrial conference Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, and K and tabulation of salary range of defendant's employees as furnished by counsel for defendant, filed.

1952

Jan. 9—Court's amendment to pretrial order of November 27, 1951, filed.

Feb. 12—Memorandum opinion of the Court filed determining issues on final hearing and directing decree be submitted dismissing plaintiff's complaint and dissolving preliminary injunction heretofore entered October 24, 1951.

- Feb. 14—Final judgment filed and entered finding issues in favor of defendant and against plaintiff, dismissing complaint and dissolving preliminary injunction heretofore entered October 24, 1951.
- Feb. 20 Plaintiff's notice of appeal to the United States Court. of Appeals, 8th Circuit, from final judgment entered herein February 14, 1952, filed and copy thereof forthwith transmitted by Clerk to Thomas S. McPheeters, attorney of record for defendant.
- Feb. 20—Motion of plaintiff for restoration of preliminary injunction pending-appeal filed and said motion, together with stipulation of parties this day filed, are submitted and said motion denied.
- Mar. 28—Transcript of trial proceedings before the Court December 28, 1951, filed by Official Court Reporter.
- May 12—Plaintiff's statement of points on which it will rely on appeal, filed.



And thereafter the following proceedings were had in said cause in the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, viz:

(Appearance of Roger S. Eoster as Counsel for Appellant.)

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No. 14611

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, APPELLANT,

RALSTON PURINA COMPANY, A MISSOURI CORPORATION The Clerk will enter my appearance as Counsel for the Appel-

> ROGER S. FOSTER. General Counsel.

(Endorsed): Filed in U. S. Court of Appeals, May 22, 1952.

lant.

(Appearance of David Ferber as Counsel for Appellant.)

The Clerk will enter my appearance as Counsel for the Appellant.

DAVID FERBER. Securities and Exchange Commission. Washington, 25, D. C.

(Endorsed): Filed in U. S. Court of Appeals, August 11, 1952.

(Appearance of Counsel for Appellee.)

The Clerk will enter our appearance as Counsel for the Appellee.

THOMAS S. MCPHEETERS. GEORGE W. SIMPKINS. 1630 Boatmen's Bank Building, St. Louis 2. Missouri.

(Endorsed): Filed in U. S. Court of Appeals, August 7, 1952.

ORDER OF SUBMISSION

September Term, 1952.

Monday, September 15, 1952.

This cause having been called for hearing in its regular order, argument was commenced by Mr. David Ferber, Special Counsel, Securities and Exchange Commission, for appellant, continued by